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## 1. Executive Summary

The SWASH survey is a comprehensive survey of important health issues relevant to lesbian, bisexual, queer (LBQ) and other non-heterosexual identifying women engaged with Sydney's lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer (LGBTQ) communities. The survey covers sexual health and wellbeing, violence, mental health, tobacco use, illicit drug use, alcohol consumption, and cancer, STI and HIV screening.

SWASH has run every two years since 1996, and since 2009 has been run by researchers at the University of Sydney in collaboration with ACON. The survey is regularly revised to reflect the needs of LGBTQ communities and knowledge deficits identified through research literature. Where possible, questions are sourced from established national surveys such as the Australian Health Survey, National Drug Strategy Household Survey, Australian Study of Health and Relationships, and Australian Longitudinal Survey of Women's Health.

Australian epidemiological data on the health and wellbeing of LBQ women remains inconsistent. The inclusion of sexuality indicators in large epidemiological surveys remains patchy, and data is often reported only by sexuality (e.g. LGB people vs heterosexual people) and not by sexuality and gender (e.g. LBQ women, GBQ men, heterosexual women, heterosexual women). In this context, SWASH provides a unique and important source of health-related information on Australian LBQ women and now, non-binary people.

This report presents results from the three most recent iterations of the survey conducted at the Mardi Gras Fair Day and other community events and venues during the Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras seasons in 2014, 2016 and 2018. The report highlights several areas of particular concern (some of which have persisted over time), such as the need for mainstream preventative health interventions that are inclusive of or targeted to this group.

## SWASH 2018 Key Findings

Sample: 1272 participants returned valid questionnaires; $49 \%$ at the Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras Fair Day, 37\% at other LGBTQ social venues and 14\% through the online survey.

Demographics: The age range was 16-94 years (median age 34 years). $77 \%$ had postschool education, $56 \%$ were employed full-time and $18 \%$ were students. $16 \%$ had dependent children and $13 \%$ were planning children in the coming two years. $51 \%$ lived in the city or eastern or inner western suburbs of Sydney.

Sexual identity: 55\% thought of themselves as lesbian/dyke/homosexual/gay, 17\% as bisexual, and $20 \%$ as queer; $8 \%$ chose the 'other' category. Being in a regular sexual relationship with a woman was the most common relationship status (48\%).

Gender identity: 87\% of respondents identified as cisgender women, with a further 6\% identifying as non-binary and $3 \%$ reporting a trans experience.

Community engagement: $54 \%$ felt very or mostly connected to LGBTQ communities in their everyday life.

Sexual relations with women: $89 \%$ had ever had sex with a woman; $63 \%$ had done so in the preceding six months. Among respondents who had had sex with a woman in the preceding six months, $77 \%$ reported one sexual partner.

Sexual relations with men: $67 \%$ had ever had sex with a man; $21 \%$ had done so in the preceding six months. $27 \%$ had ever had sex with a man they believed to be gay or bisexual.

Sex work: 8\% reported they had ever done sex work.
Cervical cancer screening: $21 \%$ had never had a Pap smear, and a further $12 \%$ had their last Pap smear more than three years ago. Respondents who had never had sex with a man were three times as likely to be overdue for screening as those who ever had sex with a man.

STI screening: 37\% of respondents had never had an STI test, despite the vast majority being sexually active.

Tobacco: $22 \%$ were tobacco smokers, a substantially higher proportion than the general community.

Alcohol: 88\% reported drinking alcohol; 49\% consumed more than the NHMRC guidelines recommend for reducing the lifetime risk of alcohol-related disease or injury. 19\% of drinkers drank 5 or more drinks (binge drinking) weekly or more often in the past 6 months.

Illicit drugs: In the preceding six months, $45 \%$ had used one or more illicit drug including cannabis (33\%), methamphetamines (12\%) and cocaine (18\%). Rates of drug use were much higher than in the general community. $11 \%$ had ever sought help for a drug and/or alcohol issue, most commonly from a counsellor/psychologist.

General health: While most rated their general health as good/very good/excellent, 20\% said it was poor or fair. $50 \%$ reported a height and weight that placed them outside the "healthy weight" range.

Mental health: $41 \%$ reported high or very high psychological distress ( $62 \%$ of 16-24 year olds). Over the past five years, $68 \%$ had accessed psychological services, $41 \%$ had received a mental health diagnosis. $31 \%$ said they had felt life was not worth living in the past 12 months, $14 \%$ had self-harmed.

Chronic illness and disability: 24\% reported having a disability or chronic illness.
Experiences of abuse and violence: $32 \%$ had ever experienced physical or emotional abuse by a female partner. $40 \%$ had experienced some kind of anti-LGBTQ behaviour in the past year.

## 2. Overview

A lack of systematic, nuanced research on the health and wellbeing of Australian lesbian, bisexual and queer (LBQ) women has been a significant barrier to understanding, recognising and addressing their health needs. At worst, LBQ women's health needs have been ignored. At best, they have been considered to be synonymous with general women's health. ${ }^{1}$ While sex between women is considered relatively low risk to health, a range of social, psychological and economic factors mean that this minority group has poorer health outcomes than their heterosexual peers. Stigma, family and community rejection and discrimination can impact on health and wellbeing, the delivery of health services, and women's access to services.

SWASH is the longest running periodic survey of LBQ women's health and wellbeing in the world. The first round of the SWASH survey (then called the Sydney Women and Sexual Health survey) was carried out in 1996, making this the twelfth iteration. It was initiated by workers from two ACON projects, Women Partners of Gay and Bisexual Men, and the Gay and Lesbian Injecting Drug Use Project, who were faced with a lack of empirical evidence on which to base their intervention work. Concern had been voiced about the possibility of HIV spreading from gay and bisexual men to the 'general community' so the first SWASH survey focused on sexual and injection-related HIV transmission risks. The survey was addressed to all women in social contact with gay and lesbian communities in Sydney. In the following years, the focus shifted to a general health survey. In 2018, new questions were included on cervical cancer screening, mammography, alcohol and other drug treatment, and self-harm.

A key strength of the SWASH data set is that the same core questions have been asked every two years of women engaging with LGBTQ communities in similar venues and events, at the same time of year. This allows us to track trends in the population of communityengaged LBQ women. We take a conservative approach to changing the survey so as not to undermine our ability to capture trends. In 2018, we changed our approach to asking about survey respondents' gender; this revealed that $13 \%$ of survey respondents were not cisgender women. We will continue to work on the survey to meet community expectations around capturing the diversity of ways people describe their experiences, practices or identities, and in some cases, their partners' identities or bodies.

The inclusion of lesbian and bisexual women in the 2010 National Women's Health Policy ${ }^{2}$ and for the first time in 2018, the NSW Women's Strategy ${ }^{3}$ is a timely recognition of persuasive international and local evidence that some health problems may be more prevalent, risk factors may be different, and interventions may need to be tailored to the needs of this group. SWASH provides a much needed local evidence base to inform best practice in healthcare and prevention for chronic diseases, mental health and wellbeing, sexual and reproductive health and ageing.

This report presents results from the 2018 survey with 2014 and 2016 data presented for comparison; the format follows previous survey iterations. ${ }^{4 \cdot 9}$ Slight changes in sampling and questions between iterations mean that differences between the years cannot be attributed solely to change over time. We make note of changes in questions and years when particular questions were not asked.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1 Survey instrument

A two-page self-complete questionnaire was used for each iteration; some survey questions were included in all iterations, others appeared only once (see Appendices for copies of the questionnaires). In 2018, the questionnaire included items on demographics; sexual and gender identity; LGBTQ communities connection; smoking, alcohol and drug use; sexual health; general health; chronic illness and disability; psychological wellbeing; experiences of anti-LGBTQ behaviour, intimate partner violence; parenthood intentions; preventive health practices health-related help-seeking behaviour; and healthcare access and satisfaction. Responding to community feedback, and after consultation, we replaced a longstanding question on trans status, with a two-step question on gender identity and gender assigned at birth (as recommended by ACON).

### 3.2 Sampling and recruitment

SWASH is a repeated cross-sectional survey that takes place every two years in February during the Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras season. It employs a modified version of the Time-Location convenience sampling also used for the HIV behavioural surveillance undertaken by the Gay Community Periodic Survey. ${ }^{10}$ This venue-based method is often employed for populations that cluster in locations. We drew on the knowledge of ACON health promotion staff to identify venues and events likely to have a high concentration of LBQ women and non-binary people during the survey recruitment period. As in previous years, in 2018 the primary recruitment site was the Mardi Gras Fair Day - a highly significant community family day with entertainment, stalls, and food attended by up to 80,000 people. Additional recruitment took place at 19 other social events that occurred during the Mardi Gras Season.

In 2018 we made sustained efforts to increase the sample's diversity by recruiting at events that were aimed at Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women or at LBQ-identifying trans and gender diverse people, and at events that were located outside the CBD or that did not revolve around alcohol. The significant disruption to data collection that occurred at Fair Day in 2016 (when security stopped data collectors approaching potential respondents) did not reoccur and respondent numbers returned to usual levels (numbers were almost halved in 2016); in 2018 recruitment was less reliant on commercial venues.

Questionnaires were offered to everyone identifying as a woman who was willing to respond. Because of practical difficulties, refusal rates were not calculated. Recruitment takes place in public spaces and entertainment venues, so potential respondents who wish to avoid completing the questionnaire can easily do so; annecdotally, we can report that few explicitly refused a verbal offer to contribute.

In 2018 we also ran the survey online using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Sydney. ${ }^{11}$ The survey was open for one month immediately after face-to-face recruitment ceased. We delayed online recruitment to ensure the face-to-face sample was not changed by an online option and to maintain our ability to compare SWASH samples year to year.

### 3.3 Analysis

Data were entered from the coded questionnaires and loaded into SPSS v24.0 software for analysis. Data were cleaned and checked for internal consistency and, where inconsistencies were found, checked against the questionnaires. Additional comments and answers to openended questions were transferred from the questionnaires. The analysis presented here is primarily descriptive, with cross-tabs and t-tests to confirm significant differences between subgroups; $p$ values were calculated using Pearson's chi-square statistic or Fisher's exact test where appropriate (that is, where the 'expected' number was very small).

The non-answer rate for some questions completed face-to-face was relatively high, especially those requiring writing text rather than ticking a box. (The online version of the survey contained controls alerting participants to non-completed questions.) We assume that many respondents simply left a question blank when it did not apply to them, rather than ticking the 'no' response. However, percentages have generally been calculated on the total sample, not on the question-specific response rate, which would have inflated the 'yes' percentages. Readers can take the 'yes' percentages as lower-bound estimates and judge for themselves whether to interpret the missing people as likely to be similar to the respondents or likely to mean 'no' or 'not applicable'. Exceptions to this are tables reporting summaries of questions where respondents could select more than one item, and tables reporting sub-samples.

## 4. Findings

Reflecting our practice since the 2004 survey report, ${ }^{6} 129$ respondents who identified as heterosexual were excluded from this report. While respondents who identified as heterosexual may still have had sex with women, most of these respondents did so only rarely (of the 129 heterosexual respondents, 19 had ever had sex with a woman, only six in the last six months). Respondents identifying as male (regardless of gender assigned at birth) would have been removed but none remained in the sample after heterosexual identifying respondents were excluded. Thus, this report focuses on lesbian, bisexual, queer and other non-heterosexual identifying (LBQ) women (cis and trans), and includes non-binary people.

Face to face recruitment generated 1096 valid responses (Table 1). The online survey generated 335 valid responses. SWASH has always been a survey of community-engaged lesbian, bisexual and queer (LBQ) people traditionally recruited at events during the Mardi Gras season, to maintain the sample's coherence we excluded 159 online surveys from people who had not attended any events during the 2018 Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras season. Thus, the final 2018 sample comprised 1096 paper and 176 online responses.

We asked respondents to indicate if they had completed the previous SWASH iteration in 2016; $7 \%$ had. This compares to $14 \%$ in 2014, $13 \%$ in 2012 and $12 \%$ in 2010. The decrease in the 2018 repeat participate rate is most likely due to recruitment disruption at Fair Day in 2016.

| Table 1: Recruitment venues |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Fair Day | $767(69.7)$ | $397(63.7)$ | $622(48.9)$ |
| Social venues/ events | $333(30.3)$ | $184(29.5)$ | $474(37.3)$ |
| Community group | - | $42(6.7)$ | - |
| Online | - | - | $176(13.8)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.1 Sample Characteristics

### 4.1.1 Age

The age range was 16-94 years, with a median age of 34 (2014 range 16-66, median age 31; 2016 range 16-74, median 33). Figure 1 compares the proportion of respondents in 5 -year age categories over the three surveys. The category with the largest number of respondents has consistently been the 25-34 years age group.

Figure 1: Age distribution of sample


### 4.1.2 Sexual identity and attraction

We continue to observe a drop in the proportion of respondents identifying as lesbian (58\%) in SWASH (since 2006); the decrease over the last three iterations continues to be statistically significant ( $p<0.001$; Table 2). The proportion of respondents identifying as bisexual (17\%) has remained steady since 2014. Queer has been a separate response option since 2010 (to reflect the high proportion ticking "other" and then writing "queer") and now comprises 20\% of respondents. As noted earlier, respondents who identified as heterosexual, regardless of their sexual practice or gender identity, were excluded from the data set.

The proportion of respondents who did not feel the available sexuality response options reflected their experience, or who selected more than one, is now $8 \%$. The vast majority of respondents in the 'other' category used multiple terms to describe their sexuality, including 20 respondents who ticked multiple responses, e.g. both queer and lesbian. Some people resisted categorisation, making comments such "me" "no label" or "love is love", or describing themselves as fluid or "heteroflexible", while others defined themselves in opposition for example as "not heterosexual". Some people left the categorisation open, describing themselves as "experimenting", "questioning" or "not enough experience to label yet". The most common written term was pansexual ( $\mathrm{N}=30$ ) either on its own or in
conjunction with terms like queer or lesbian. Thirteen respondents used the term "asexual" or "ace", again either on its own or in conjunction other terms such as "queer" or "lesbian"; 13 respondents said they felt attracted to no one at all (Table 3).
Several respondents described their sexuality as "trans".
Exclusive attraction to women was not the majority experience ( $26 \%$; Table 3), even among this highly community-attached sample, the majority of whom ( $63 \%$ ) had been sexually active with a woman in the preceding six months. This is the lowest exclusive attraction to women ( $26 \%$ ), and the highest equal attraction to men and women ( $19 \%$ ) that SWASH has captured. As would be expected, lesbian-identifying respondents were most likely to say they were attracted only or mostly to women (96\%), followed by queer or other respondents ( $64 \%$ ), and then bisexual respondents ( $20 \%$ ).

The median age of lesbian respondents was 37 years, of bisexual respondents 26 years, and of queer respondents 33 years (Table 4). Age and sexual identity have been correlated in each SWASH survey, with younger respondents more likely than older respondents to identify as bisexual, queer or other, and less likely to identify as lesbian (Figure 2).

| Table 2: Sexual identity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Lesbian/dyke/gay/homosexual | $753(68.5)$ | $389(62.4)$ | $705(55.4)$ |
| Bisexual | $180(16.4)$ | $97(15.6)$ | $214(16.8)$ |
| Queer | $95(8.6)$ | $95(15.2)$ | $252(19.8)$ |
| Other | $65(5.9)$ | $36(5.8)$ | $97(7.6)$ |
| Not reported | $7(0.6)$ | $6(1.0)$ | $4(0.3)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

Table 3: Sexual attraction to males and females
("I have felt sexually attracted to")

|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Only to females | $372(33.8)$ | $207(33.2)$ | $332(26.1)$ |
| More often to females | $511(46.5)$ | $285(45.7)$ | $586(46.1)$ |
| Equally often to both | $131(11.9)$ | $89(14.3)$ | $241(18.9)$ |
| More often to males | $68(6.2)$ | $30(4.8)$ | $90(7.1)$ |
| Only to males | $6(0.5)$ | $3(0.5)$ | $4(0.3)$ |
| To no one at all | $5(0.5)$ | $5(0.8)$ | $13(1.0)$ |
| No answer | $7(0.6)$ | $4(0.6)$ | $6(0.5)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 4: Mean and median age, by sexual identity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | Mean (median) | Mean (median) | Mean (median) |
| Lesbian | $34.7(33.0)$ | $37.8(36.0)$ | $39.0(37.0)$ |
| Bisexual | $29.6(26.5)$ | $30.1(28.0)$ | $30.1(26.0)$ |
| Queer | $31.1(29.0)$ | $31.9(31.0)$ | $33.1(32.5)$ |
| Other | $33.3(28.0)$ | $30.1(28.0)$ | $32.9(30.0)$ |
| Not reported | $34.9(36.0)$ | $28.7(28.0)$ | $21.0(20.5)$ |

Figure 2: Sexual identity by age group


### 4.1.3 Gender identity

For the 2018 survey, 2018 we reviewed the way the survey captured gender. We previously only captured respondents' trans experience by collecting transgender status, and so did not reflect the gender diversity among LBQ people who engaged with the survey. Drawing on the current recommendations from ACON, we introduced two new questions: "Which of the following best describes your gender identity: female, male, non-binary, other (please specify)" and "What gender were you assigned at birth: female, male".

The majority of respondents ( $87 \%$; Table 5) felt female best described their gender identity and had been assigned female at birth; these respondents would usually be referred to as cisgender or cis women. Respondents identifying as non-binary represented $6 \%$ of the sample, the overwhelming majority of whom had been assigned female at birth. Thirty three respondents ( $3 \%$ ) identified as female and had been assigned male at birth; these respondents have conventionally been referred to as trans women but many may self-
identify simply as women. A further 4\% of respondents ticked multiple options or "other"; the majority were assigned female at birth. Respondents who identified as male (whether assigned male or female at birth) would have been excluded from the data set. Four such respondents had already been removed as they identified as heterosexual, and no further male-identifying respondents remained.

The proportion of respondents with a trans experience in previous iterations of SWASH had been increasing ( $1 \%$ in 2006 to $7 \%$ in 2016). Due to the new questions in 2018, it is not possible to say that the $12 \%$ of respondents reporting trans and gender diverse experiences represents an increase. We have not conducted separate analyses for cis and trans and gender diverse respondents.

| Table 5: Gender identity and assignment at birth |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \%$ |
| Female (female at birth) | $1110(87.3)$ |
| Female (male at birth) | $33(2.6)$ |
| Non-binary (female at birth) | $79(6.2)$ |
| Non-binary (male at birth) | $2(0.2)$ |
| Other/multiple (female at birth) | $32(2.5)$ |
| Other/multiple (male at birth) | $14(1.1)$ |
| Not reported | $2(0.2)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}$ (100) |

### 4.1.4 Intersex status

Since 2014 we have asked respondents about their intersex status. Twenty four (1.9\%) indicated that they had variations in sex characteristics (Table 6). The most commonly cited estimate of the prevalence of variations in sexual characteristics in the general population is $1.7 \% ;^{12}$ this is the source used by Intersex Human Rights Australia. ${ }^{13}$ We have not conducted separate analyses for people reporting an intersex status.

| Table 6: Intersex status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No | $1062(96.5)$ | $593(95.2)$ | $1230(96.7)$ |
| Yes | $9(0.8)$ | $12(1.9)$ | $24(1.9)$ |
| Prefer not to say | $12(1.1)$ | $5(0.8)$ | $11(0.9)$ |
| Not reported | $17(1.6)$ | $13(2.1)$ | $7(0.5)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.1.5 Children

In 2018, 16\% of respondents said they had dependent children, a similar proportion to other years (Table 7). This number does not capture parents who no longer have dependent children (that is, children have left home and are self-supporting). Ninety five respondents ( $13 \%$ ) said they were planning to have children in the next two years (Table 8); most (75\%) did not already have dependent children.

| Table 7: Dependent children (birth or co-parent) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No | $929(84.5)$ | $510(81.9)$ | $1062(83.5)$ |
| Yes | $152(13.8)$ | $102(16.4)$ | $209(16.4)$ |
| Not reported | $19(1.7)$ | $11(1.8)$ | $1(0.1)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( \mathbf { 1 0 0 } )}$ |


| Table 8: Planning to have children in next two years |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No | $879(79.9)$ | $518(83.1)$ | $1102(86.6)$ |
| Yes / Maybe | $198(18.0)$ | $98(15.7)$ | $165(13.0)$ |
| Not reported | $23(2.1)$ | $7(1.2)$ | $5(0.4)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.1.6 Social attachment to LGBTQ communities

Unsurprisingly for a sample that is generated through attendance at LGBTQ community events, levels of connection were high, with $54 \%$ reporting they felt mostly or very connected to LGBTQ communities in their everyday life (Table 9). Of the 1272 respondents, $98 \%$ said that at least a few of their friends were lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or queer people (Table 10).

In the preceding six months, $72 \%$ had attended at least one LGBTQ group, event or venue (Table 11). Over the past decade of the survey we've tracked a significant decline in overall attendance in all categories (from $86 \%$ in 2006 to $72 \%$ in 2018). The drop may indicate a change in socialising habits - LBQ people may be attending more mixed-mainstream venues and/or opportunities to attend LGBTQ events and venues may have decreased. This presents challenges for health services wishing to engage with this group. Previous strategies for delivering health promotion often relied on people physically congregating at events or venues or reading community street press.

| Table 9: Connection to LGBTQ communities, by sexual identity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | N (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very | 166 (23.5) | 34 (15.9) | 86 (34.1) | 25 (25.8) | 1 (25.0) | 312 (24.5) |
| Mostly | 203 (28.8) | 57 (26.6) | 84 (33.3) | 31 (32.0) | 0 (0.0) | 375 (29.5) |
| Somewhat | 226 (32.1) | 83 (38.8) | 64 (25.4) | 28 (28.9) | 2 (50.0) | 403 (31.7) |
| Rarely | 87 (12.3) | 32 (38.8) | 16 (6.3) | 3 (3.1) | 1 (25.0) | 145 (31.4) |
| Not at all | 18 (2.6) | 5 (2.3) | 1 (0.4) | 9 (9.3) | 0 (0.0) | 27 (2.1) |
| Not reported | 5 (0.7) | 3 (1.4) | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | 10 (0.8) |
| Total | 705 (100) | 214 (100) | 252 (100) | 97 (100) | 4 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| $2016$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very | 84 (21.6) | 13 (13.4) | 36 (37.9) | 7 (19.4) | 1 (16.7) | 141 (22.6) |
| Mostly | 125 (32.1) | 18 (18.6) | 26 (27.4) | 13 (36.1) | 3 (50.0) | 185( 29.7) |
| Somewhat | 119 (30.6) | 40 (41.2) | 23 (24.2) | 10 (27.8) | 1 (16.7) | 193 (31.0) |
| Rarely | 48 (12.3) | 16 (16.5) | 6 (6.3) | 6 (16.7) | 1 (16.7) | 77 (12.4) |
| Not at all | 8 (2.1) | 9 (9.3) | 3 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 20 (3.2) |
| Not reported | 5 (1.3) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (1.1) |
| Total | 389 (100) | 97 (100) | 95 (100) | 36 (100) | 6 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very | 153 (20.0) | 20 (11.1) | 26 (27.4) | 11 (16.9) | 0 (0.0) | 210 (19.1) |
| Mostly | 224 (29.7) | 47 (2.61) | 28 (29.5) | 18 (27.7) | 1 (14.3) | 318 (28.9) |
| Somewhat | 240 (31.9) | 62 (34.4) | 31 (32.6) | 25 (38.5) | 4 (57.1) | 362 (32.9) |
| Rarely | 109 (14.5) | 32 (17.8) | 8 (8.4) | 8 (12.3) | 1 (14.3) | 158 (14.4) |
| Not at all | 23 (3.1) | 17 (9.4) | 1 (1.1) | 3 (4.6) | 1 (14.3) | 45 (4.1) |
| Not reported | 4 (0.5) | 2 (1.1) | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (0.6) |
| Total | 753 (100) | 180 (100) | $95(100)$ | 65 (100) | 7 (100) | 1100 (100) |


| Table 10: Number of friends who are LGBTQ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| None | $27(2.5)$ | $6(1.0)$ | $17(1.3)$ |
| A few | $169(15.4)$ | $85(13.6)$ | $192(15.1)$ |
| Some | $363(33.0)$ | $185(29.7)$ | $408(32.1)$ |
| Most | $490(44.5)$ | $321(51.5)$ | $607(47.7)$ |
| All | $25(2.3)$ | $19(3.0)$ | $33(2.6)$ |
| Not reported | $26(2.4)$ | $7(1.1)$ | $15(1.2)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 11: Attendance at LGBTQ social venues, events or groups in past 6 months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Lesbian/queer women's night/bar | $640(58.2)$ | $289(46.4)$ | $576(45.3)$ |
| Gay night/bar | $537(48.8)$ | $234(37.6)$ | $446(35.1)$ |
| LGBTQ dance party | $333(30.3)$ | $198(31.8)$ | $378(29.7)$ |
| LGBTQ group meeting | $244(22.2)$ | $171(27.4)$ | $294(23.1)$ |
| LGBTQ community event | $462(42.0)$ | $303(48.6)$ | $695(54.6)$ |
| LGBTQ sports group | $184(16.7)$ | $83(13.3)$ | $191(15.0)$ |
| Any of the above | $\mathbf{8 5 5}(\mathbf{7 7 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 5 ( 7 5 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6}(\mathbf{7 2 . 2 )}$ |

### 4.1.7 Education, employment and income

The SWASH sample has always been well educated; the proportion of respondents who have post-school qualifications has increased gradually from 64\% in 2006 to $77 \%$ in 2018; $23 \%$ had a postgraduate qualification (Table 12). Of those who answered the questions on employment, $56 \%$ were employed full-time and $18 \%$ were students, some of whom were also employed (Table 13). With $45 \%$ of respondents earning an annual before tax income of $\$ 60,000$ or more and the proportion dependent on state benefits low; this is a reasonably well-off group (Table 14).

| Table 12: Education |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Up to Year 10/School Certificate | $88(8.0)$ | $70(11.2)$ | $98(7.7)$ |
| Year 12/Higher School Certificate | $170(15.5)$ | $108(17.3)$ | $194(15.3)$ |
| Tertiary diploma/trade certificate | $229(20.8)$ | $112(18.0)$ | $228(17.9)$ |
| University or college degree | $403(36.6)$ | $202(32.4)$ | $451(35.5)$ |
| Postgraduate degree | $207(18.8)$ | $126(20.2)$ | $295(23.2)$ |
| Not reported | $3(0.3)$ | $5(0.8)$ | $6(0.5)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 13: Employment status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Employed full-time | $683(62.1)$ | $363(58.3)$ | $711(55.9)$ |
| Employed part-time | $232(21.1)$ | $134(21.5)$ | $319(25.1)$ |
| Unemployed | $42(3.8)$ | $35(5.6)$ | $76(6.0)$ |
| Student | $181(16.5)$ | $100(16.1)$ | $234(18.4)$ |
| Pensioner/social security benefits | $29(2.6)$ | $30(4.8)$ | $61(4.8)$ |
| Domestic duties | $32(2.9)$ | $16(2.6)$ | $43(3.4)$ |
| Not in work force | $17(1.5)$ | $6(1.0)$ | $40(3.1)$ |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to more than $100 \%$ because respondents could be in more than one category. |  |  |  |


| Table 14: Annual income before tax |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Nil-\$19,999 | $189(17.2)$ | $125(20.1)$ | $265(20.8)$ |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ | $179(16.3)$ | $101(16.2)$ | $185(20.8)$ |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 59,999$ | $220(20.0)$ | $107(17.2)$ | $228(17.9)$ |
| $\$ 60,000-\$ 99,999$ | $308(28.0)$ | $173(27.8)$ | $380(29.9)$ |
| $\$ 100,000+$ | $171(15.5)$ | $89(14.3)$ | $191(15.0)$ |
| Not reported | $33(3.0)$ | $28(4.5)$ | $23(1.8)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.1.8 Ethnicity

Table 15 shows responses to questions on ethnic or cultural background grouped into broad categories (we have provided an expanded number of categories compared to previous SWASH reports). This cannot be compared directly with the Census data, which report several variables including place of birth, language spoken and ancestry rather than our less specific category of ethnic affiliation. In SWASH, 6\% of respondents self-identified as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander persons; this is higher than the proportion that would be expected if the sample were similar to the total NSW population and may be due to recruitment efforts targeted to relevant events ( $4.4 \%$ of the female population of NSW (aged 15 years and older) identified as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander.) ${ }^{14}$

| Table 15: Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Anglo-Australian only | $623(56.6)$ | $387(62.1)$ | $797(62.7)$ |
| Aboriginal $^{1}$ | $62(5.6)$ | $40(6.4)$ | $83(6.5)$ |
| Australian or Anglo-Australian plus <br> other ethnicity | $42(3.8)$ | $12(1.9)$ | $37(2.9)$ |
| Oceanian ${ }^{2}$ | $27(2.5)$ | $16(2.6)$ | $29(2.3)$ |
| North West European | $81(7.4)$ | $28(4.5)$ | $75(5.9)$ |
| South and Eastern European | $71(6.5)$ | $38(6.1)$ | $75(5.9)$ |
| European multi/not specified | $12(1.1)$ | $7(1.1)$ | $14(1.1)$ |
| African and/or Middle Eastern | $34(3.1)$ | $10(1.6)$ | $28(2.2)$ |
| Asian | $61(5.5)$ | $28(4.5)$ | $56(4.4)$ |
| Americas | $33(3.0)$ | $26(4.2)$ | $17(1.3)$ |
| Multiple/not specified | $29(2.6)$ | $14(2.2)$ | $55(4.3)$ |
| Not reported | $25(2.3)$ | $17(2.7)$ | $6(0.5)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(100)$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(100)$ |

NOTE: Open text responses were classified into the above categories based on the 2016 Australian Standard
Classification of Cultural and Ethnic Groups. ${ }^{15}$
${ }^{1}$ Includes 28 reporting multiple affiliations in 2018
${ }^{2}$ Includes New Zealand peoples, Melanesian, Papuan, Micronesian and Polynesian

### 4.1.9 Geographical location and residential status

Just over half (51\%) of respondents lived in the city, inner west or eastern suburbs of Sydney (Table 16). This is unsurprising as most recruitment sites including Fair Day were located in this area. The online sample, where recruitment was not driven by geography, had a similar spread in geography. Few respondents (3\%) lived in what has traditionally been considered the core gay Sydney suburbs of Darlinghurst, Potts Point, Kings Cross, and Surry Hills. A significant proportion of respondents lived outside the Sydney region (12\%) demonstrating the number of respondents coming into the region for the events during Mardi Gras season.

| Table 16: Where respondents lived |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Gay Sydney ${ }^{1}$ | 38 (3.5) | 27 (4.3) | 35 (2.8) |
| Eastern suburbs ${ }^{2}$ | 86 (7.8) | 27 (4.3) | 65 (5.1) |
| City and inner western suburbs ${ }^{3}$ | 480 (43.6) | 315 (50.6) | 549 (43.2) |
| Southern suburbs ${ }^{4}$ | 65 (5.9) | 27 (4.3) | 93 (7.3) |
| Northern suburbs ${ }^{5}$ | 154 (14.0)* | 62 (10.0) | 138 (10.8) |
| Western suburbs and Blue Mountains ${ }^{6}$ | 153 (13.9) | 97 (15.6) | 214 (16.8) |
| Outside Sydney region | 107 (9.7)* | 57 (9.2) | 157 (12.3) |
| Not reported/invalid | 17 (1.5) | 11 (1.8) | 21 (1.7) |
| Total | 1100 (100) | 623 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| NOTE: The classification of postcodes and suburb 2016 Australian Statistical Geography Standard (AS <br> (1) Eastern inner city suburbs of Surry Hills, Darlin <br> (2) Sydney - Eastern Suburbs <br> (3) Sydney - Inner West, City and Inner South plus <br> (4) Sydney - Sutherland, Inner South West and So <br> (5) Sydney - North Sydney and Hornsby, Northern <br> (6) Sydney - Parramatta, Outer West, Blacktown, <br> *For 2014, proportion of responders residing in No compared to previous reports as Central Coast lo | bove regions is <br> gs Cross and P <br> ry, excluding " <br> excluding Can <br> Ryde, Baulkho <br> th West, South <br> urbs has increa <br> e now included | ligned on the <br> " <br> d Central Coa <br> Mountains <br> oportion outsi <br> Sydney regio | esignated with <br> region decrea |

### 4.2 Sexual partners and practices

### 4.2.1 Sex with women

The great majority of respondents (89\%) reported that they had ever had sex with a woman; $63 \%$ had done so in the preceding six months (Table 17). As expected, lesbian respondents were most likely ( $72 \%$ ) to report recent sex with a woman, and bisexual respondents least likely ( $40 \%$ ). Respondents who reported sex with a woman in the preceding six months were most likely to report one sexual partner ( $77 \%$; Table 18) and most likely to have had sex between two and five times in the preceding four weeks (37\%; Table 19).

| Table 17: Last had sex with a woman, by sexual identify |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 27 (3.8) | 48 (22.4) | 20 (7.9) | 25 (25.8) | 0 (0.0) | 120 (9.4) |
| Over 6 months ago | 162 (23.0) | 74 (34.6) | 69 (27.4) | 28 (28.9) | 1 (25.0) | 334 (26.3) |
| In the past 6 months | 505 (71.6) | 86 (40.2) | 159 (63.1) | 42 (43.3) | 3 (75.0) | 795 (62.5) |
| Not reported | 11 (1.6) | 6 (2.8) | 4 (1.6) | 2 (2.1) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (1.8) |
| Total | 705 (100) | 214 (100) | 252 (100) | 97 (100) | 4 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 7 (1.8) | 24 (24.7) | 5 (5.3) | $9(25.0)$ | 2 (33.3) | 47 (7.5) |
| Over 6 months ago | 55 (14.1) | 30 (30.9) | 14 (14.7) | 7 (19.4) | 0 (0.0) | 106 (17.0) |
| In the past 6 months | 318 (81.7) | 42 (43.3) | 75 (78.9) | 17 (19.4) | 4 (66.7) | 456 (73.2) |
| Not reported | 9 (2.3) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.1) | 3 (8.3) | 0 (0.0) | 14 (2.2) |
| Total | 389 (100) | 97 (100) | 95 (100) | 36 (100) | 6 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 13 (1.7) | 17 (9.4) | 2 (2.1) | 13 (20.0) | 1 (14.3) | 46 (4.2) |
| Over 6 months ago | 116 (15.4) | 64 (35.6) | 22 (23.2) | 14 (21.5) | 2 (28.6) | 218 (19.8) |
| In the past 6 months | 610 (81.0) | 97 (53.9) | 70 (73.7) | 38 (58.5) | 4 (57.1) | 819 (74.5) |
| Not reported | 14 (1.9) | $2(1.1)$ | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 17 (1.5) |
| Total | 753 (100) | 180 (100) | 95 (100) | 65 (100) | 7 (100) | 1100 (100) |


| Table 18: Number of sexual partners in the preceding 6 months, by sexual identity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 414 (83.1) | 61 (70.9) | 98 (61.6) | 28 (66.7) | 3 (100) | 607 (76.6) |
| 2-5 | 68 (13.7) | 22 (25.6) | 48 (30.2) | 12 (28.6) | 0 (0.0) | 150 (19.0) |
| >5 | 12 (2.4) | 2 (2.3) | 12 (7.5) | 2 (4.8) | 0 (0.0) | 28 (3.6) |
| Not reported | 4 (0.8) | 1 (1.2) | 1 (0.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (0.8) |
| Total | 498 (100) | 86 (100) | 159 (100) | 42 (100) | 3 (100) | 788 (100) |
| $2016$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 246 (79.4) | 32 (76.2) | 44 (59.5) | 12 (75.0) | 4 (100) | 338 (75.8) |
| 2-5 | 45 (14.5) | 9 (21.4) | 23 (31.1) | 4 (25.0) | 0 (0.0) | 81 (18.2) |
| >5 | 16 (5.2) | 1 (2.4) | 6 (8.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (5.2) |
| Not reported | 3 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | $4(0,9)$ |
| Total | 310 (100) | 42 (100) | 74 (100) | 16 (100) | 4 (100) | 446 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 459 (77.9) | 66 (71.0) | 42 (62.7) | 19 (51.4) | 2 (50.0) | 588 (74.4) |
| 2-5 | 102 (17.3) | 23 (24.7) | 23 (34.3) | 15 (40.5) | 2 (50.0) | 165 (20.0) |
| >5 | 20 (3.4) | 4 (4.3) | 2 (3.0) | 2 (5.4) | 0 (0.0) | 28 (3.5) |
| Not reported | 8 (1.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.7) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (1.1) |
| Total | 589 (100) | 93 (100) | 67 (100) | 37 (100) | 4 (100) | 790 (100) |
| NOTE: Table only includes respondents who reported sex with a woman in the preceding 6 months. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19: Number of times respondents had sex with a woman in the past 4 weeks

|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| 0 | $171(21.6)$ | $96(21.5)$ | $193(24.5)$ |
| 1 | $90(11.4)$ | $48(10.8)$ | $102(12.9)$ |
| $2-5$ | $224(28.4)$ | $147(33.0)$ | $288(36.5)$ |
| $6-10$ | $124(15.7)$ | $64(14.3)$ | $117(14.8)$ |
| $11+$ | $144(18.2)$ | $58(13.0)$ | $86(10.9)$ |
| Not reported | $37(4.1)$ | $33(7.4)$ | $2(0.3)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{7 8 8}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

NOTE: Table only includes respondents who reported sex with a woman in the preceding 6 months.

### 4.2.2 Sex with men

Sixty seven percent of respondents reported they had ever had sex with a man; $21 \%$ in the last 6 months. Bisexual ( $85 \%$ ), queer ( $84 \%$ ) and other-identifying ( $71 \%$ ) respondents were more likely to have ever had sex with a man compared to lesbian respondents (56\%). The fact that a majority of lesbian respondents have a sexual history that includes men is perhaps familiar and unremarkable to members of LGBTQ communities. However, health service providers, policy makers and those designing STI prevention programs need to be aware that a significant proportion of women and non-binary people who do not identify as heterosexual are having sex with men, and consider the reach of their programs. This group may not respond to health promotion campaigns directed at assumed heterosexual audiences.

Sixty four percent of respondents said they had ever had sex with a man they believed to be heterosexual (Table 20), while $27 \%$ said they had ever had sex with a man they believed to be gay or bisexual (Table 21). Of the 203 respondents who reported sex with a heterosexual man in the last 6 months, $70 \%$ had had any unprotected vaginal or anal intercourse; $37 \%$ had done so often. We do not know if the sex reported was with cis or trans men as the SWASH survey does not ask respondents (or allow them to indicate) the specific gender experience of their partners.

Of the 115 respondents who reported sex with a gay or bisexual man in the last 6 months, $64 \%$ had had any unprotected vaginal or anal intercourse; $31 \%$ had done so often. While the absolute numbers are relatively small, the proportion reporting often having unprotected sex with a gay or bisexual man in $2018(n=36)$ is three times higher than the steady rate reported in 2014 and 2016. There is no evidence of a similar increase of unprotected sex with heterosexual men. These data suggest a need for further research regarding LBQ women and non-binary people's sexual practice with gay and bisexual men, especially in the preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) era where there is evidence of a decrease in consistent condom use by gay and bisexual men when they have sex with other men. ${ }^{17}$ In additional to risks of STI and HIV transmission, unprotected vaginal sex also presents a risk of unintended pregnancy.

| Table 20: When respondents last had sex with a heterosexual man, by sexual identity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 303 (43.0) | 34 (15.9) | 50 (19.8) | 28 (28.9) | 4 (100) | 419 (32.9) |
| Over 6 months ago | 366 (51.9) | 77 (36.0) | 127 (50.4) | 42 (43.3) | 0 (0.0) | 612 (48.1) |
| In the past 6 months | 14 (2.0) | 97 (45.3) | 68 (27.0) | 24 (24.7) | 0 (0.0) | 203 (16.0) |
| Not reported | 22 (3.1) | 6 (2.8) | 37 (2.8) | 3 (3.1) | 0 (0.0) | 38 (3.0) |
| Total | 705 (100) | 214 (100) | 252 (100) | 97 (100) | 4 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 180 (46.3) | 14 (14.4) | 26 (27.4) | 13 (5.6) | 2 (33.3) | 235 (37.7) |
| Over 6 months ago | 187 (48.1) | 38 (39.2) | 47 (49.5) | 10 (27.8) | 3 (50.0) | 285 (45.7) |
| In the past 6 months | 9 (2.3) | 41 (42.3) | 19 (20.0) | 11 (30.6) | 1 (16.7) | 81 (13.0) |
| Not reported | 13 (3.3) | 4 (4.1) | 3 (3.2) | 2 (5.6) | 0 (0.0) | 22 (3.5) |
| Total | 389 (100) | 97 (100) | 95 (100) | 36 (100) | 6 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 312 (41.4) | 16 (8.9) | 23 (24.2) | 17 (26.2) | 1 (14.3) | 369 (33.5) |
| Over 6 months ago | 392 (52.1) | 69 (38.3) | 47 (49.5) | 25 (38.8) | 1 (14.3) | 534 (48.6) |
| In the past 6 months | 33 (4.4) | 86 (47.8) | 24 (25.3) | 22 (33.8) | 4 (57.1) | 169 (15.4) |
| Not reported | 16 (2.1) | 9 (5.0) | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.5) | 1 (14.3) | 28 (2.5) |
| Total | 753 (100) | 180 (100) | 95 (100) | 65 (100) | 7 (100) | 1100 (100) |


| Table 21: When respondents last had sex with a gay or bisexual man, by sexual identity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | N (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 590 (83.7) | 127 (59.3) | 126 (50.0) | 55 (56.7) | 4 (100) | 902 (70.9) |
| Over 6 months ago | 89 (12.6) | 41 (19.2) | 75 (29.8) | 24 (24.7) | 0 (0.0) | 229 (18.0) |
| In the past 6 months | 13 (1.8) | 42 (19.6) | 44 (17.5) | 16 (16.5) | 0 (0.0) | 115 (9.0) |
| Not reported | 13 (1.8) | 4 (1.9) | 7 (2.8) | 2 (2.1) | 0 (0.0) | 26 (2.1) |
| Total | 705 (100) | 214 (100) | 252 (100) | 97 (100) | 4 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 313 (80.5) | 58 (59.8) | 45 (46.4) | 21 (58.3) | 4 (66.7) | 441 (70.8) |
| Over 6 months ago | 51 (13.1) | 22 (22.7) | 31 (32.6) | 6 (16.7) | 2 (33.3) | 112 (18.0) |
| In the past 6 months | 16 (4.1) | 15 (15.5) | 18 (18.9) | 9 (15.0) | 0 (0.0) | 58 (9.3) |
| Not reported | 9 (2.3) | 2 (2.1) | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 12 (1.9) |
| Total | 389 (100) | 97 (100) | 95 (100) | 36 (100) | 6 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 592 (78.6) | 103 (57.2) | 53 (55.8) | 44 (67.7) | 4 (57.1) | 796 (72.4) |
| Over 6 months ago | 84 (11.2) | 47 (26.1) | 27 (28.4) | 12 (18.5) | 2 (28.6) | 172 (15.6) |
| In the past 6 months | 48 (6.4) | 25 (13.9) | 14 (14.7) | 8 (12.3) | 0 (0.0) | 95 (8.6) |
| Not reported | 29 (3.9) | 5 (2.8) | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.5) | 1 (14.3) | 37 (3.4) |
| Total | 753 (100) | 180 (100) | 95 (100) | 65 (100) | 7 (100) | 1100 (100) |

### 4.2.3 Sexual practices

Among the $63 \%$ of respondents who had had sex with a woman in the preceding six months, the most common sexual practice was manual sex (involving hands and genitals; Table 22). Stimulation of the external genitals was practised by only a few more respondents than sex with the fingers or hand inside the vagina. Most respondents also practised oral sex (cunnilingus), the majority who had done so had both given and received ( $77 \%$ ). More than half ( $60 \%$ ) reported having used a sex toy. Most respondents who had used a toy had done so both on the external genitals and inside the vagina (53\%). Anal practices were less common (30\%), with manual stimulation (24\%) a more common practice than oral stimulation (rimming; 14\%) or the use of sex toys (14\%). We do not know if the sex reported was with cis or trans women as the SWASH survey does not ask respondents (or allow them to indicate) the specific gender experience of their partners.

Twenty seven percent of respondents reported having been involved in 'S/M dominance/ bondage' (e.g., sadomasochism or slave-mistress encounters) without or with blood (e.g., practices such as cutting, piercing, whipping or fisting; Table 23). Ten percent of respondents reported they had had group sex in the preceding six months; of the 131 reporting group sex $80 \%$ said this had involved a woman (Table 24). One hundred and four respondents (8\%) reported they had ever done sex work (Table 25).

| Table 22: Sexual practices with a woman in the past 6 months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Fingers/hand on external genitals | $740(93.7)$ | $416(93.3)$ | $756(93.4)$ |
| Fingers/hand inside vagina | $729(92.3)$ | $405(90.8)$ | $714(88.3)$ |
| Fingers/hand inside anus | $198(25.1)$ | $127(28.5)$ | $190(23.5)$ |
| Oral sex (mouth on partner's genitals) | $644(81.5)$ | $381(85.4)$ | $633(78.2)$ |
| Oral sex (mouth on respondent's genitals) | $622(78.7)$ | $367(82.3)$ | $601(74.3)$ |
| Rimming (mouth on partner's anus) | $98(12.4)$ | $80(17.9)$ | $95(11.7)$ |
| Rimming (mouth on respondent's anus) | $103(13.0)$ | $73(16.4)$ | $87(10.8)$ |
| Sex toy used on external genitals | $419(53.8)$ | $266(59.6)$ | $435(53.8)$ |
| Sex toy used inside vagina | $425(53.8)$ | $252(56.5)$ | $426(25.7)$ |
| Sex toy used inside anus | $91(11.5)$ | $71(15.9)$ | $114(14.1)$ |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to more than $100 \%$ <br> respondents who reported sex with a woman in the past 6 months. |  |  |  |


| Table 23: Experience of S/M dominance/bondage in the past 6 months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Yes | $185(16.8)$ | $167(26.8)$ | $340(26.8)$ |
| - with blood | $39(3.5)$ | $48(7.7)$ | $63(5.0)$ |
| No | $868(78.9)$ | $428(68.7)$ | $883(69.4)$ |
| Not reported | $47(4.3)$ | $28(4.5)$ | $49(3.9)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 24: Group sex in the past $\mathbf{6}$ months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| Group sex which included: | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| A woman | $115(10.5)$ | $51(8.2)$ | $105(8.3)$ |
| A straight or heterosexual man | $50(4.5)$ | $28(4.5)$ | $53(4.2)$ |
| A gay or bisexual man | $21(1.9)$ | $17(2.7)$ | $42(3.3)$ |
| BDSM ${ }^{1}$ no blood | $43(3.9)$ | $39(6.3)$ | $67(5.3)$ |
| BDSM ${ }^{2}$ with blood | $15(1.4)$ | $15(2.4)$ | $16(1.3)$ |
| Any group sex | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ (12.6) | $\mathbf{7 2}$ (11.6) | $\mathbf{1 3 1}(\mathbf{1 0 . 3})$ |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to more than $100 \%$ because respondents could be in more than one category. <br> 'BDSM is bondage, dominance or sadomasochism or slave-mistress encounters <br> 2Practices such as cutting, piercing, whipping or fisting |  |  |  |


| Table 25: Sex work |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Never | $1020(92.7)$ | $559(89.7)$ | $1149(90.3)$ |
| Over 6 months ago | $42(3.8)$ | $39(6.3)$ | $72(5.7)$ |
| In last 6 months | $22(2.0)$ | $15(2.4)$ | $32(2.5)$ |
| Not reported | $16(1.5)$ | $10(1.6)$ | $19(1.5)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.2.4 Sexual relationships

Forty eight percent of respondents were in a regular sexual relationship with a woman, 8\% with a man and $36 \%$ were not in a regular sexual relationship (Table 26). The decreasing trend in the proportion of respondents reporting a relationship with a woman (2006: 66\% to $48 \%$ in 2018) reflects the general decrease in lesbian-identifying respondents and the increase in recent sex with men already reported. The most common relationship length was over five years (35\%; Table 27). Twenty five per cent of respondents reported they had
had a casual partner(s) in the preceding six months; $20 \%$ reported casual sex with a female partner (Table 28). We do not know if respondents are reporting relationships with cis or trans people as the SWASH survey does not ask respondents (or allow them to indicate) the specific gender experience of their partners.

| Table 26: Current sexual relationship with a regular partner |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No | $350(31.8)$ | $191(30.7)$ | $461(36.2)$ |
| With a woman | $634(57.6)$ | $355(57.0)$ | $611(48.1)$ |
| With a man | $64(5.8)$ | $39(6.3)$ | $107(8.4)$ |
| Poly/Multiple partners | $41(3.7)$ | $23(3.7)$ | $73(5.7)$ |
| Not reported | $11(1.0)$ | $13(2.1)$ | $20(1.6)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 27: Length of regular relationship |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Less than 6 months | $114(15.4)$ | $62(14.9)$ | $128(16.2)$ |
| 6-11 months | $100(13.5)$ | $49(11.8)$ | $90(11.4)$ |
| 1-2 years | $142(19.2)$ | $83(19.9)$ | $130(16.4)$ |
| 3-5 years | $162(21.9)$ | $93(22.3)$ | $158(20.0)$ |
| Over 5 years | $214(29.0)$ | $128(30.7)$ | $280(35.4)$ |
| Not reported | $7(0.9)$ | $2(0.5)$ | $5(0.6)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 3 9}$ (100) | $\mathbf{4 1 7}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 1}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |
| NOTE: Table only includes respondents who reported being in a regular relationship. |  |  |  |


| Table 28: Casual sexual partners in past 6 months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No | $780(70.9)$ | $437(70.1)$ | $937(73.7)$ |
| Yes, with women | $192(17.5)$ | $105(16.9)$ | $167(13.1)$ |
| Yes, with men | $48(4.4)$ | $26(4.2)$ | $67(5.3)$ |
| Yes, with both | $68(6.2)$ | $39(6.3)$ | $83(6.5)$ |
| Not reported | $12(1.1)$ | $16(2.6)$ | $18(1.4)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.3 Tobacco, alcohol and illicit drug use

### 4.3.1 Tobacco

Twenty two percent of respondents were current tobacco smokers (Table 29), with $59 \%$ of smokers smoking daily. SWASH data has shown a significant, although slow, downward trend from $35 \%$ in 2006 to $30 \%$ in 2016, so the drop to $22 \%$ is notable. The marked change is due to younger respondents. Over time, SWASH has tracked a very high rate of smoking among younger respondents (Table 29). Among 16-24 year olds, smoking has dropped from $42 \%$ in 2016 to $24 \%$ in 2018, and among 25-34 year olds from $34 \%$ to $25 \%$. Rates of smoking among older respondents are steady. For the first time in the life of the survey slightly more than half of respondents have never smoked ( $67 \%$ of 16-24 year olds and $56 \%$ of 25-34 year olds).

These results need to be treated with caution. This is a surprisingly large drop (7\% overall, $18 \%$ among younger respondents) for a behaviour linked to addiction, that had appeared intransigent. ${ }^{18}$ It is not clear what explains this drop, although the first tailored and targeted community smoking cessation campaign (a joint initiative by ACON and Cancer Institute NSW) ran in May 2016. ${ }^{19}$ Rates of smoking remain higher among this population group when compared with the general population, especially considering this is a highly educated urban sample. In comparison, in 2017, 12\% of women in NSW (cf SWASH 22\%) smoked, and $14 \%$ of those aged 16-24 (cf $24 \%$ ). ${ }^{20}$ We continue to see rates of smoking around double the general population, ${ }^{18,21}$ yet tobacco control policies fail to recognise that tobacco use is a significant public health issue facing LBQ people and their communities.

| Table 29: Smoking status by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16-24 years | $25-34$ <br> years | 35-44 years | $45+$ years | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 64 (23.5) | 99 (24.9) | 62 (23.0) | 57 (17.2) | 1 (50.0) | 283 (22.2) |
| Ex-smoker | 23 (8.5) | 70 (17.6) | 94 (34.8) | 138 (41.7) | 1 (50.0) | 326 (25.6) |
| Never smoked | 181 (66.5) | 221 (55.7) | 110 (40.7) | 131 (39.6) | 0 (0.0) | 643 (50.6) |
| Not reported | 4 (1.5) | 7 (1.8) | 4 (1.5) | 5 (1.5) | 0 (0.0) | 20 (1.6) |
| Total | 272 (100) | 397 (100) | 270 (100) | 331 (100) | 2 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 59 (41.8) | 63 (34.2) | 33 (21.0) | 29 (21.2) | 0 (0.0) | 184 (29.5) |
| Ex-smoker | 8 (5.7) | 29 (15.8) | 56 (35.7) | 47 (34.3) | 2 (50.0) | 142 (22.8) |
| Never smoked | 71 (50.4) | 87 (47.3) | 59 (37.6) | 51 (37.2) | 2 (50.0) | 270 (43.3) |
| Not reported | 3 (2.1) | 5 (2.7) | 9 (5.7) | 10 (7.3) | 0 (0.0) | 27 (4.3) |
| Total | 141 (100) | 184 (100) | 157 (100) | 137 (100) | 4 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 113 (47.7) | 127 (30.0) | 56 (22.0) | 32 (17.6) | 1 (50.0) | 329 (29.9) |
| Ex-smoker | 30 (12.7) | 104 (24.5) | 78 (30.6) | 73 (40.1) | 1 (50.0) | 286 (26.0) |
| Never smoked | 91 (38.4) | 185 (43.6) | 116 (45.5) | 73 (40.1) | 0 (0.0) | 465 (42.3) |
| Not reported | 3 (1.3) | 8 (1.9) | 5 (2.0) | 4 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 20 (1.8) |
| Total | 237 (100) | 424 (100) | 255 (100) | 182 (100) | 2(100) | 1100 (100) |

### 4.3.2 Alcohol

The majority of respondents ( $88 \%$ ) in the 2018 survey reported drinking alcohol (among NSW women, $64 \%$ drink alcohol). ${ }^{20}$ Table 30 illustrates the frequency of drinking was related to age: younger drinkers were more likely to drink two or fewer days per week ( $78 \%$ of 16-34 year olds compared to $64 \%$ of $35-44$ ) while older drinkers were more likely to drink three or more days per week ( $23 \%$ of 16-34 year olds compared to $36 \%$ of $35-44$ ).

The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) recommends drinking no more than two standard drinks on any day to reduce the lifetime risk of harm from alcoholrelated disease or injury. ${ }^{22}$ We asked respondents: on a day when you drink alcohol, how many standard drinks do you usually have? Nearly half of all respondents ( $49 \%$; 57\% of those who drank) and $63 \%$ of $16-24$ year olds ( $72 \%$ of younger drinkers) reported they usually drank at levels that exceed this recommendation (Table 33).

The NHMRC recommends that drinking no more than four standard drinks on a single occasion to reduce the risk of alcohol-related injury arising from that single occasion. ${ }^{22}$ Using data from the same question about how many standard drinks respondents usually have, $17 \%$ of all respondents ( $19 \%$ of those who drank) reported that they usually drank at levels that exceed this recommendation (Table 31). Seventeen percent of respondents (20\% of those who drank) reported drinking five or more drinks ('binge drinking') weekly or more often in the past six months (Table 32). Respondents aged 16-24 years ( $75 \%$ ) were more likely to binge drink two or more times in the last 6 months compared to the oldest age group ( 45 years plus; 56\%); this pattern has not changed substantially over time.

| Table 30: Frequency of drinking alcohol |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Less often than weekly | $359(32.6)$ | $195(31.3)$ | $469(36.9)$ |
| 1 or 2 days a week | $310(28.2)$ | $178(28.6)$ | $3188(25.0)$ |
| 3 or 4 days a week | $200(18.2)$ | $88(14.1)$ | $190(14.9)$ |
| 5 or 6 days a week | $71(6.5)$ | $32(5.1)$ | $96(7.5)$ |
| Every day | $48(4.4)$ | $26(4.2)$ | $42(3.3)$ |
| Not reported | $18(1.6)$ | $21(3.4)$ | $19(1.5)$ |
| Never | $94(8.5)$ | $83(13.3)$ | $138(10.8)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 31: Number of drinks consumed per occasion |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| 1 or 2 drinks | $369(33.6)$ | $206(33.1)$ | $473(37.2)$ |
| 3 or 4 drinks | $348(31.6)$ | $198(31.8)$ | $405(31.8)$ |
| 5 to 8 drinks | $186(16.9)$ | $71(11.4)$ | $168(13.2)$ |
| 9 or more drinks | $84(7.6)$ | $32(5.1)$ | $47(3.7)$ |
| Not reported | $19(1.7)$ | $33(5.3)$ | $41(3.2)$ |
| Non-drinker | $94(8.5)$ | $83(13.3)$ | $138(10.8)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 32: Frequency of drinking $\mathbf{5}$ or more on a single occasion in past $\mathbf{6}$ months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Never | $191(17.4)$ | $120(19.3)$ | $233(18.3)$ |
| Once or twice | $232(21.1)$ | $141(22.6)$ | $380(29.9)$ |
| About once a month | $294(26.7)$ | $154(24.7)$ | $273(21.5)$ |
| About once a week | $171(15.5)$ | $60(9.6)$ | $149(11.7)$ |
| More than once a week | $95(8.6)$ | $35(5.6)$ | $64(5.0)$ |
| Every day | $11(1.0)$ | $3(0.5)$ | $7(0.6)$ |
| Not reported | $12(1.1)$ | $27(4.3)$ | $28(2.2)$ |
| Non-drinker | $94(8.5)$ | $83(13.3)$ | $138(10.8)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.3.3 Illicit drugs

In the preceding six months, $47 \%$ of respondents had used any illicit drug (Table 33). Drug use remains steady including cannabis ( $33 \%$ ), methamphamine use ( $12 \%$; although it dropped over the last decade from $23 \%$ in 2006), and cocaine ( $18 \%$ ). In response to the question 'Have you ever injected drugs?' $7 \%$ of respondents indicated that they had ever done so (steady over three iterations).

Rates of illicit drug use remain several times higher among the SWASH sample than reported for the general community (Table 34). The NDSHS 2016 found 13\% of women in Australia reported using any illicit drug in the past 12 months, compared to $47 \%$ in our sample who reported using any illicit drug in the past 6 months. Echoing these disparities, the NDSHS found homosexual and bisexual people (not disaggregated by gender) generally reported recent illicit drug use several times higher than heterosexual people, most notably ecstasy and meth/amphetamines ( 5.8 times), cocaine ( 3.7 times), and cannabis (3.2). ${ }^{23}$ Without a sophisticated understanding of the drivers of illicit drug use for LBQ women and non-binary people, and the conditions under which these practices become problematic, interventions are unlikely to succeed.

Respondents indicated whether selected drug types were usually taken at home, at a friend's home or private party, a public venue or party, or before or during sex. Table 35 shows the most common context for each drug: natural cannabis and benzos/Valium were more likely to be consumed in private, ecstasy and methamphetamines at public venues, while cocaine was most likely consumed at a private venue or public party. A small number of respondents reported drug use during sex, cocaine, ecstasy and cannabis were the most likely illicit drugs consumed in this context.

### 4.3.4 Help seeking

Eleven percent ( $n=142$ ) of respondents said they had ever sought help for a drug and/or alcohol issue. The most common support sought was counsellor/psychologist (71\%; Table 36), GP (53\%), self-help groups (36\%), friends/relatives (35\%), and specialist drug and alcohol services (23\%). One hundred and seven respondents told us which of these supports they had found the most helpful: counsellor/psychologist (26\%), self-help groups (13\%), friends/relatives (10\%), GP (9\%), and specialist drug and alcohol services (9\%).

| Table 33: lllicit drug use in the past 6 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| Any cannabis ${ }^{1}$ | 371 (33.7) | 184 (29.5) | 416 (32.7) |
| Natural | 371 (33.7) | 183 (29.4) | 387 (30.4) |
| Synthetic | - | 20 (3.2) | 67 (5.3) |
| Ecstasy | 232 (21.1) | 117 (18.8) | 207 (16.3) |
| Methamphetamine ${ }^{2}$ | 153 (13.9) | 61 (9.8) | 154 (12.1) |
| Cocaine | 209 (19.0) | 101 (16.2) | 233 (18.3) |
| Benzos / Valium | 140 (12.7) | 115 (18.5) | 229 (18.0) |
| Amyl / poppers | 106 (9.6) | 50 (8.0) | 122 (9.6) |
| LSD / trips | 78 (7.1) | 35 (5.6) | 82 (6.4) |
| Special K/ ketamine | 49 (4.5) | 28 (4.5) | 89 (7.0) |
| GHB | 32 (2.9) | 13 (2.1) | 33 (2.6) |
| New/emerging psychostimulants ${ }^{3}$ | - | 4 (0.6) | 17 (1.3) |
| Other drugs | 41 (3.7) | 19 (3.0) | 47 (3.7) |
| Any of the above | 524 (47.6) | 280 (44.9) | 595 (46.8) |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to more than $100 \%$ because respondents could be in more than one category ${ }^{1}$ From 2016 respondents were asked separately about natural and synthetic cannabis; in 2014 we have assumed all use to be natural form. |  |  |  |


| Table 34: Illicit drug use SWASH sample compared with |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| women in general community |  |  |
|  | SWASH 2018 | NDSHS 2016 |
|  | past 6 months | past 12 months |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Cannabis (natural) | 30.4 | 7.9 |
| Ecstasy | 16.3 | 1.8 |
| Cocaine | 18.3 | 2.0 |

Table 35: Context of recent drug use, for respondents reporting use of each drug type (2018 only)

|  | Home | Friends home / <br> Private party | Public venue/ <br> party | Before or <br> during sex |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Cannabis (natural) | $163(42.1)$ | $223(57.6)$ | $40(10.3)$ | $31(8.0)$ |
| Ecstasy | $22(10.6)$ | $69(33.3)$ | $149(72.0)$ | $19(9.2)$ |
| Methamphetamine | $34(22.1)$ | $42(27.3)$ | $86(55.8)$ | $13(8.4)$ |
| Benzos / Valium | $190(83.0)$ | $30(13.1)$ | $14(6.1)$ | $6(2.6)$ |
| Cocaine | $51(21.9)$ | $139(59.7)$ | $109(46.8)$ | $23(9.9)$ |
| Alcohol | $320(19.6)$ | $350(21.4)$ | $369(22.6)$ | $94(5.8)$ |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to <br> calculated on proportion of respondents who reported using that drug in the past 6 months. |  |  |  |  |


| Table 36: Drug/alcohol help-seeking behaviour (2018) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| GP | $27(39.1)$ | $75(52.8)$ |
| Counsellor/psychologist | $54(78.3)$ | $101(71.1)$ |
| Helpline | $6(8.7)$ | $22(15.5)$ |
| Specialist drug \& alcohol service | $17(24.6)$ | $33(23.2)$ |
| LGBTI service | $10(14.5)$ | $17(12.1)$ |
| Community organisation | $7(10.1)$ | $21(14.8)$ |
| Friends/relatives | $16(23.2)$ | $49(34.5)$ |
| Self-help groups (AA/NA/SMART) | $17(24.6)$ | $51(35.9)$ |
| Hospital/ED | $12(17.4)$ | $23(16.2)$ |
| Online/apps | $2(2.9)$ | $12(8.5)$ |
| Other | $4(5.8)$ | $11(7.7)$ |
| NOTE: Summary table; adds up to more than $100 \%$ <br> more than one category. |  |  |

### 4.4 Health status and behaviour

### 4.4.1 Relationships with doctors

The majority of respondents reported they had a regular doctor (59\%) or health practice (18\%; Table 37). The vast majority of respondents with a regular doctor/practice (84\%) reported being satisfied or very satisfied (Table 38). Respondents who were out to their regular GP were more likely to be very satisfied ( $49 \%, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) than those who were not out (30\%). That is, while respondents were largely satisfied with the service they received, disclosing sexuality appeared to be associated with a more positive evaluation of that service/relationship.

| Table 37: Regular doctor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| No regular doctor | $239(21.7)$ | $123(19.7)$ | $278(21.9)$ |
| Yes, regular GP | $684(62.2)$ | $375(60.2)$ | $754(59.3)$ |
| Yes, regular health practice | $169(15.4)$ | $105(16.9)$ | $222(17.5)$ |
| Not reported | $8(0.7)$ | $20(3.2)$ | $18(1.4)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 38: Satisfaction with regular doctor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Very satisfied | $363(42.6)$ | $218(45.4)$ | $433(44.4)$ |
| Satisfied | $301(35.3)$ | $173(36.0)$ | $385(39.4)$ |
| Neither | $86(10.1)$ | $44(9.2)$ | $93(9.5)$ |
| Unsatisfied | $33(3.9)$ | $14(2.9)$ | $38(3.9)$ |
| Very unsatisfied | $21(2.1)$ | $8(1.7)$ | $5(0.5)$ |
| Not reported | $49(5.7)$ | $23(4.8)$ | $22(2.3)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 6}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |
| NOTE: Table only includes respondents who reported having a regular GP or practice |  |  |  |

### 4.4.2 General health

The majority of respondents rated their general health as excellent/very good/good (78\%; Table 39); 20\% reported their health as fair/poor. The proportion reporting fair/poor health has increased over time. Three hundred respondents (24\%) said they had a chronic (longterm) illness or disability.

| Table 39: General health, by sexual identity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer | Other | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor/Fair | 116 (16.5) | 44 (20.6) | 66 (26.2) | 37 (38.1) | 0 (0.0) | 263 (20.7) |
| Good/Very good | 474 (67.2) | 146 (68.2) | 163 (64.7) | 52 (53.6) | 4 (100) | 839 (66.0) |
| Excellent | 107 (15.2) | 20 (9.3) | 20 (7.9) | 7 (7.2) | 0 (0.0) | 154 (12.1) |
| Not reported | 8 (1.1) | 4 (1.9) | 3 (1.2) | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | 16 (1.3) |
| Total | 705 (100) | 214 (100) | 252 (100) | 97 (7.2) | 4 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor/Fair | 55 (14.1) | 23 (23.7) | 15 (15.8) | 11 (30.6) | 2 (33.3) | 106 (17.0) |
| Good/Very good | 264 (67.9) | 65 (67.0) | 65 (68.4) | 24 (66.7) | 4 (66.7) | 422 (67.7) |
| Excellent | 56 (14.4) | 5 (5.2) | 11 (11.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 72 (11.6) |
| Not reported | 14 (3.6) | 4 (4.1) | 4 (4.2) | 1 (2.8) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (3.7) |
| Total | 389 (100) | 97 (100) | 95 (100) | 36 (100) | 6 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor/Fair | 71 (9.4) | 19 (10.6) | 8 (8.4) | 11 (16.9) | 1 (14.3) | 110 (10.0) |
| Good/Very good | 501 (66.5) | 123 (68.3) | 69 (72.6) | 44 (67.7) | 4 (57.1) | 741 (67.4) |
| Excellent | 173 (23.0) | 37 (20.6) | 18 (18.9) | 10 (15.4) | 2 (28.6) | 240 (21.8) |
| Not reported | 8 (1.1) | 1 (0.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (0.8) |
| Total | 753 (100) | 180 (100) | 95 (100) | 65 (100) | 7 (100) | 1100 (100) |

### 4.4.3 Height and weight

We use respondent's height and weight to calculate a body mass index (BMI) for each respondent. The BMI is an internationally recognised standard for classifying overweight and obesity in adult populations, and is one of the World Health Organisation's 100 Core Health Indicators. ${ }^{24}$ It is an imperfect measure as people tend to overestimate height and underestimate weight when self-reporting, ${ }^{25}$ and it does not recognise differences in height and weight proportions which may be related to cultural heritage. We acknowledge understandable concern among members of LGBTQ communities about a focus on body weight, and in particular on using normative ideals of body shape. While $41 \%$ of the sample was within the "healthy weight" range, $50 \%$ reported height and weight that placed them above this range (Table 42). We have provided comparative self-report data from women aged 18 years and older in the 2014-15 National Health Survey (NHS; Table 41). ${ }^{26}$

| Table 40: Body mass index |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Below range | $39(3.5)$ | $21(3.4)$ | $31(2.4)$ |
| Within range | $524(47.6)$ | $267(42.9)$ | $519(40.8)$ |
| Above range | $266(24.2)$ | $138(22.2)$ | $347(27.3)$ |
| Higher range | $172(15.6)$ | $140(22.5)$ | $289(22.7)$ |
| Not reported | $99(9.0)$ | $57(9.1)$ | $86(6.8)$ |
| Total | $1100(100)$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2}(100)$ |
| NOTE: Cut off scores were Below range $=<18.50$, , Within range $=18.50-24.99$, Above <br> range $=25-29.99$, , Higher range $=>30$ |  |  |  |

Table 41: Body mass index compared with women aged 18+ in general community

|  | SWASH 2016 <br> $\%$ | SWASH 2018 <br> $\%$ | NHS 2014-15 <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below/Healthy range | 51.0 | 46.1 | 45.1 |
| Above range | 24.0 | 29.2 | 28.2 |
| Higher range | 25.0 | 24.7 | 27.2 |

NOTE: Cut off scores were Below/Within range $=<24.99$, Above range $=25-29.99$, Higher range $=$
$>30$ For comparison, table excludes SWASH non-responders and those aged less than 18 years.

### 4.4.4 Mental health

We use the Kessler 6 ( $K 6$ ) to measure non-specific psychological distress (e.g. feeling nervous, hopeless, restless, worthless) in the preceding four weeks. ${ }^{27}$ The proportion of respondents reporting high or very high acute distress has been trending up since we first posed the questions in 2006, and over the last three iterations has risen 10\% to $41 \%$ (Table 42). HealthStats NSW use the K10, ${ }^{28}$ and while these measures cannot be directly compared, the categories are broadly equivalent: in 2017, $4 \%$ of women in NSW reported very high levels of distress, and $8 \%$ reported high distress. ${ }^{20}$ These rates are between one-quarter and onethird the levels of high and very high distress reported in our sample.

Reflecting the levels of acute distress reported, $31 \%$ of respondents said they that in the preceding 12 months they had felt that life was not worth living (suicidal ideation; Table 43) and $14 \%$ said they had deliberately hurt themselves or done something they knew might harm or kill them (self-harm; Table 44). A majority of respondents ( $68 \%$ ) had accessed mental health services in the last five years (Table 46). In the same period, $46 \%$ had received a diagnosis of depression, anxiety disorder or other mental health disorder (Table 45).

Psychological distress among 16-24 year olds is alarming; 62\% reported high/very high acute distress (Table 42), an increase of $20 \%$ over the last three iterations of the survey. This is markedly higher than among $16-24$ year old women in NSW, where $11 \%$ report very high and $10 \%$ report high psychological distress. ${ }^{20}$ Over the preceding 12 months, $45 \%$ of our youngest respondents had felt that life was not worth living and $26 \%$ had deliberately hurt themselves or done something they knew might harm or kill them. These are higher levels
than reported by 18-23 year old women in the Australian Longitudinal Survey of Women's Health, where $31 \%$ reported suicidal ideation in the last 12 months and $13 \%$ reported selfharm. ${ }^{29}$ Almost three quarters of the youngest respondents ( $71 \%$ ) had accessed mental health services in the last five years (Table 46). In the same period, $60 \%$ had received a diagnosis of depression, anxiety disorder or other mental health disorder (Table 45).

The self-harm question ("have you deliberately hurt yourself or done something you knew might harm or kill you") was drawn from the Australian Longitudinal Survey of Women's Health. ${ }^{30}$ It covers self-harm with suicidal intent and non-suicidal self-harm and relies on respondents' self-definition of self-harm. We have heard anecdotally of SWASH respondents discussing how to interpret this question, whether to include for example drug use or snapping yourself with an elastic band. We do not know how these respondents ultimately responded to the question. However researchers using this question have argued that there is good evidence that people understand what is meant by self-harm. ${ }^{30}$

Table 42: Kessler 6 measure of acute psychological distress, by age group

|  | 16-24 years | 25-34 years | 35-44 years | $45+$ years | Not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low distress | 32 (11.8) | 91 (22.9) | 79 (29.3) | 162 (48.9) | 2 (100) | 366 (28.8) |
| Medium distress | 64 (23.5) | 106 (26.7) | 69 (25.6) | 88 (26.6) | 0 (0.0) | 327 (25.7) |
| High distress | 79 (29.0) | 108 (27.2) | 63 (23.3) | 39 (11.8) | 0 (0.0) | 289 (22.7) |
| Very high distress | 89 (32.7) | 77 (19.4) | 43 (15.9) | 26 (7.9) | 0 (0.0) | 235 (18.5) |
| Not reported | 8 (2.9) | 15 (3.8) | 16 (5.9) | 16 (4.8) | 0 (0.0) | 55 (4.3) |
| Total | 272 (100) | 397 (100) | 270 (100) | 331 (100) | 2 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low distress | 15 (10.6) | 44 (23.9) | 57 (36.3) | 59 (43.1) | 1 (25.0) | 176 (28.3) |
| Medium distress | 24 (17.0) | 63 (34.2) | 46 (29.3) | 25 (18.2) | 1 (25.0) | 159 (25.5) |
| High distress | 42 (29.8) | 44 (23.9) | 29 (18.5) | 22 (16.1) | 2 (50.0) | 139 (22.3) |
| Very high distress | 49 (34.8) | 20 (10.9) | 10 (6.4) | 7 (5.1) | 0 (0.0) | 86 (13.8) |
| Not reported | 11 (7.8) | 13 (7.1) | 15 (9.6) | 24 (17.5) | 0 (0.0) | 63 (10.1) |
| Total | 141 (100) | 184 (100) | 157 (100) | 137 (100) | 4 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low distress | 63 (26.6) | 146 (34.4) | 126 (49.4) | 99 (54.4) | 0 (0.0) | 434 (39.5) |
| Medium distress | 53 (22.4) | 14 (26.9) | 50 (19.6 | 34 (18.7 | 1 (50.0) | 252 (22.9) |
| High distress | 54 (22.8) | 85 (20.0) | 39 (15.3) | 25 (13.7) | 1 (50.0) | 204 (18.5) |
| Very high distress | 51 (21.5) | 49 (11.6) | 17 (6.7) | 8 (4.4) | 0 (0.0) | 125 (11.4) |
| Not reported | 16 (6.8) | 30 (7.1) | 23 (9.0) | 16 (8.8) | 0 (0.0) | 85 (7.7) |
| Total | 237 (100) | 424 (100) | 255 (100) | 182 (100) | 2 (100) | 1100 (100) |

NOTE: Cut off scores were Low $=0-3$, Medium $=4-7$, High $=8-12$, Very high $=13+{ }^{31}$

| Table 43: Have you ever felt that life was not worth living? (2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $16-24$ <br> years | $25-34$ <br> years | $35-44$ <br> years | $45+$ <br> years | Not <br> Reported | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Yes | $189(69.5)$ | $269(67.8)$ | $170(63.0)$ | $176(53.2)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $805(63.3)$ |
| in the last 12 <br> months | $121(44.5)$ | $134(33.8)$ | $80(26.9)$ | $56(19.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $391(30.7)$ |
| No | $1(50.0)$ | $77(28.3)$ | $123(31.0)$ | $97(35.9)$ | $152(45.9)$ | $450(35.4)$ |
| Not reported | $6(2.2)$ | $5(1.3)$ | $3(1.1)$ | $3(0.9)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $17(1.3)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 44: Have you ever deliberately harmed yourself? (2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $16-24$ <br> years | $25-34$ <br> years | $35-44$ <br> years | $45+$ <br> years | Not Reported | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Yes | $171(62.9)$ | $218(54.9)$ | $117(43.3)$ | $83(25.1)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $590(46.4)$ |
| in the last <br> 12 months | $70(25.7)$ | $64(16.1)$ | $29(10.7)$ | $12(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $175(13.8)$ |
| No | $92(33.8)$ | $172(43.3)$ | $148(54.8)$ | $244(73.7)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $657(51.7)$ |
| Not reported | $9(3.3)$ | $7(1.8)$ | $5(1.9)$ | $4(1.2)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $25(1.9)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |


| Table 44: Ever accessed counselling or psychological services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16-24 years | 25-34 years | 35-44 years | $45+$ <br> years | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 63 (23.2) | 64 (16.1) | 45 (16.7) | 61 (18.4) | 0 (0.0) | 233 (18.3) |
| Yes, in the past 5 years | 192 (70.6) | 295 (74.3) | 191 (70.7) | 180 (54.4) | 1 (50.0) | 859 (67.5) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 14 (5.1) | 32 (8.1) | 31 (11.5) | 86 (26.0) | 1 (50.0) | 164 (12.9) |
| Not reported | 3 (1.1) | 6 (1.5) | 3 (1.1) | 4 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 16 (1.3) |
| Total | 272 (100) | 397 (100) | 270 (100) | 331 (100) | 2 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 37 (26.2) | 41 (22.3) | 25 (15.9) | 27 (19.7) | 0 (0.0) | 130 (20.9) |
| Yes, in the past 5 years | 96 (68.1) | 119 (64.7) | 107 (68.2) | 77 (56.2) | 3 (75.0) | 402 (64.5) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 6 (4.3) | 20 (10.9) | 19 (12.1) | 26 (19.0) | 1 (25.0) | 72 (11.6) |
| Not reported | 2 (1.4) | 4 (2.2) | 6 (3.8) | 7 (5.1) | 0 (0.0) | 19 (3.0) |
| Total | 141 (100) | 184 (100) | 157 (100) | 137 (100) | 4 (100) | 623 (100) |
| $2014$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 71 (30.0) | 140 (33.0) | 55 (21.6) | 34 (18.7) | 0 (0.0) | 300 (37.3) |
| Yes, in the past 5 years | 149 (62.9) | 240 (56.6) | 160 (62.7) | 109 (59.9) | 2 (100) | 660 (60.0) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 14 (5.9) | 41 (9.7) | 39 (15.3) | 36 (19.8) | 0 (0.0) | 130 (11.8) |
| Not reported | 3 (1.3) | 3 (0.7) | 1 (0.4) | 3 (1.6) | 0 (0.0) | 10 (0.9) |
| Total | 237 (100) | 424 (100) | 255 (100) | 182 (100) | 2 (100) | 1100 (100) |


|  | 16-24 <br> years | 25-34 years | 35-44 years | $45+$ <br> years | Not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 89 (32.7) | 143 (36.0) | 98 (36.3) | 152 (45.9) | 0 (0.0) | 482 (37.9) |
| Yes, in past 5 years | 163 (59.9) | 195 (49.1) | 130 (48.1) | 99 (29.9) | 0 (0.0) | 587 (46.1) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 16 (5.9) | 53 (13.4) | 39 (14.4) | 75 (22.7) | 2 (100) | 185 (14.5) |
| Not reported | 4 (1.5) | 6 (1.5) | 3 (1.1) | 5 (1.5) | 0 (0.0) | 18 (1.4) |
| Total | 272 (100) | 397 (100) | 270 (100) | 331 (100) | 2 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 47 (33.3) | 74 (40.2) | 63 (40.1) | 55 (40.1) | 1 (25.0) | 240 (38.5) |
| Yes, in past 5 years | 89 (63.1) | 85 (46.2) | 63 (40.1) | 53 (38.7) | 2 (50.0) | 292 (46.9) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 3 (2.1) | 23 (12.5) | 21 (13.4) | 21 (15.3) | 1 (25.0) | 69 (11.1) |
| Not reported | 2 (1.4) | 2 (1.1) | 10 (6.4) | 8 (5.8) | 0 (0.0) | 22 (3.5) |
| Total | 141 (100) | 184 (100) | 157 (100) | 137 (100) | 4 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 107 (45.1) | 208 (49.1) | 133 (52.2) | 99 (54.4) | 0 (0.0) | 547 (49.7) |
| Yes, in past 5 years | 121 (51.1) | 164 (38.7) | 85 (33.3) | 53 (29.1) | 2 (100) | 425 (38.6) |
| Yes, over 5 years ago | 5 (2.1) | 47 (11.1) | 35 (13.7) | 28 (15.4) | 0 (0.0) | 115 (10.5) |
| Not reported | 4 (1.7) | 5 (1.2) | 2 (0.8) | 2 (1.1) | 0 (00) | 13 (1.2) |
| Total | 237 (100) | 424 (100) | 255 (100) | 182 (100) | 2 (100) | 1100 (100) |

### 4.4.5 Preventive health practices

From $1^{\text {st }}$ December 2017, the National Cervical Screening Program changed to recommend the age at which screening starts increase from 18 to 25 years (ending at age 74 years) and the time between screening tests increase from two to five years. The Pap smear test (looking for physical changes indicating cancer) was replaced by a Cervical Screening Test (CST; testing for the Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) that may lead to cancer). Anyone who had previously had a Pap smear test is due to attend for the new CST within two years, after which they will be on a five-year cycle. ${ }^{32}$

The new CST began a few months before the 2018 survey was conducted; we continued to ask about Pap smears, apply the two-year rule and not remove anyone due to age (too young/old) as the overwhelmingly majority of respondents would have been screened under the previous program. ${ }^{33}$ We did not exclude anyone who spontaneously reported they did
not have/no longer had a cervix, because our knowledge of this fact for the whole sample is incomplete. There may be a slight overestimation in the proportion who are overdue for screening.

Table 47 shows that $34 \%$ of respondents were overdue for screening - that is, they were last screened more than three years ago, never had screening or were not sure when they last had a Pap smear. The proportion of women in NSW who had had at least one Pap smear test in the preceding 3 years (the 3-year participation) for the period 2015-2016 (the latest available) was $68 \% .{ }^{34}$ The equivalent screening rate for SWASH respondents aged 20-69 years is very similar: 69\% (the rate has remained around 70\% since 2006). However, respondents who had never had sex with a man were three times more likely to have never been screened ( $39 \%$ compared to $13 \%$ who had ever had sex with a man; Table 47); this discrepancy has remained steady. Despite HPV transmission only requiring skin-to-skin contact ${ }^{35}$, and research demonstrating the prevalence of genital warts in women with a sexual history with women is similar to that of exclusively heterosexual women, ${ }^{36}$ the idea that lesbian women are at lower risk of cervical cancer persists, ${ }^{37}$ including among Australian healthcare providers. ${ }^{38}$ ACON's recent health promotion campaign The Inner Circle ${ }^{39}$ to educate LGBTIQ+ communities of changes to the Cervical Cancer Screening Program used language and imagery to raise awareness of the need for anyone with a cervix, regardless of gender identity or sexuality, to be screened.

The main reasons respondents gave for not having had a Pap smear in the last three years were, being busy (22\%), the awkward nature of the test (22\%), and fear of the test (12\%; Table 48). In response to an invitation to provide other reasons the most common reasons provided were: not having a cervix (generally from birth) or having had a hysterectomy (9\%); being too young or too old (6\%); unsure if needed/ had never occurred to them/never invited or told by a doctor that it was not necessary (6\%); and trauma (including sexual abuse or past experiences of prejudice around body shape or sex worker status), pain (including vaginismus) or the lack of a safe inclusive service (4\%).

Under the new National Cervical Screening Program, people are able to self-collect their CST in a clinical setting (that is, not at home) if they are aged 30 years or older and at least two years overdue (that is, four years since their last test) or have never been screened. ${ }^{40}$ Selfcollection is less accurate than a test conducted by a clinician using a speculum. However, for people who may be put off seeking CST due to past experiences of trauma or pain, anticipated trauma or pain, or fear of discrimination including around sexuality, gender identity or presentation (Table 48), self-collection may be a valuable access option. We asked respondents if they would prefer to take their own sample and $42 \%$ said yes (Table 49); this was higher ( $53 \%$ ) among respondents who were overdue for screening.

The HPV vaccine, or cervical cancer vaccine, offers protection against nine HPV types related to cancer in the cervix (and some cancers in the anus, vulva, vagina, penis and throat) and related to genital warts. Since 2007 it has been offered free to girls aged 12 to 13 years (and since 2013 to boys) through the National HPV Vaccination Program run in Australian schools, with a parallel catch up program available for free through GPs for those aged up to 19 years old. Several jurisdictions also offer free catch up programs for men who have sex with men, and anyone can access the vaccine at their own expense. Over half of 18 to 28 years old respondents ( $64 \%$ ) said they had received at least one dose; only $31 \%$ reported completing the full vaccine schedule ( 3 doses; Table 50). Coverage in this sample does not come close to that reported for the general population; a 2014 study of 2011 data found $50 \%$ of women surveyed in NSW, who were eligible to receive the HPV vaccine, believed they had
the full 3 doses ( $61 \%$ reported 1 dose, $56 \%$ reported 2 doses). ${ }^{41}$ The concern here is that LBQ women and non-binary people may not benefit from the markedly reduced incidence in genital warts nor, more importantly, protection against HPV-related cervical cancer.

BreastScreen NSW recommend a free mammogram to screen for breast cancer every two years for all women aged 50 to 74 . Women aged $40-49$ or over 74 can also access a mammogram free of change but they are not directly invited. (We have chosen to reflect the gendered language used by BreastScreen NSW in their eligibility informaiton.) For the first time in 2018, we asked respondents aged 40 years and older if they had ever had a mammogram: $66 \%$ had. However, $55 \%$ were overdue: they were last screened more than two years ago, never had a mammogram (30\%) or were not sure if they had been screened (Table 51). According to HealthStats NSW, 51\% of women aged 50-69 years old in the Sydney Local Health District (where most of the SWASH sample resides) had received a mammogram in the preceding two years. ${ }^{20}$ The equivalent screening rate for SWASH respondents aged 50-69 years is $64 \%$. Other Australian studies asking LBQ women about mammography report rates are slightly higher or slightly lower than the general population. ${ }^{42,43}$ ACON's ongoing breast health campaign Talk Touch Test has been promoting breast cancer awareness and working to bring the mobile screening van to community events and expand access to trans and gender diverse people. ${ }^{44}$

Rates of STI testing within the sample appear steady. Over half of respondents (61\%) had ever had a test for an STI other than HIV; $17 \%$ had done so in the previous six months (Table 52). Echoing the findings on Pap smears, respondents who had ever had sex with a man were 1.8 times more likely to have had an STI test (72\%) compared to respondents who had never had sex with a man ( $40 \%$; $p<0.001$ ); this discrepancy has remained steady. Only thirty six percent of respondents reporting recent unprotected sex with a gay or bisexual man had had a recent STI test. This (again) suggests a need for STI testing campaigns and resources targeting LBQ people about their sexual health, risks and the need for regular screening Of those ever tested for an STI, 24\% respondents had ever received an STI diagnosis. An STI diagnosis was significantly more likely among respondents who reported ever having had sex with a man (27\%) compared to those who had never had sex with a man ( $8 \% ; \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). In comparison to STI testing, the proportion of respondents who reported ever having had an

HIV test was $35 \%$, the lowest we've seen. Only fifty three percent of respondents who had ever had sex with a gay or bisexual man, had had a HIV test. Less than $1 \%$ of respondents reported they were HIV positive.

Table 47: Timing of last Pap smear, by experience of sex with men

|  | Never had sex with a man | Ever had sex with a man | Not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 3 years ago | 170 (44.9) | 613 (71.5) | 14 (38.9) | 797 (62.7) |
| More than 3 years ago | 43 (11.3) | 106 (12.4) | 1 (2.8) | 150 (11.8) |
| Never | 148 (39.1) | 113 (13.2) | 9 (25.0) | 270 (21.2) |
| Not sure | 2 (0.5) | 7 (0.8) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (0.7) |
| Not reported | 16 (4.2) | 18 (2.1) | 12 (33.3) | 46 (3.6) |
| Total | 379 (100) | 857 (100) | 36 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 3 years ago | 106 (52.5) | 289 (72.4) | 13 (59.1) | 408 (65.5) |
| More than 3 years ago | 18 (8.9) | 52 (13.0) | 2 (9.1) | 72 (11.6) |
| Never | 64 (31.7) | 50 (12.5) | 2 (9.1) | 116 (18.6) |
| Not sure | 7 (3.5) | 4 (1.0) | 1 (4.5) | 12 (1.9) |
| Not reported | 7 (3.5) | 4 (1.0) | 4 (18.2) | 15 (2.4) |
| Total | 202 (100) | 399 (100) | 22 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 3 years ago | 185 (57.3) | 540 (72.2) | 17 (58.6) | 742 (67.5) |
| More than 3 years ago | 21 (6.5) | 76 (10.2) | 3 (10.3) | 100 (9.1) |
| Never | 103 (31.9) | 110 (14.7) | 7 (24.1) | 220 (20.0) |
| Not sure | 9 (2.8) | 16 (2.1) | 1 (3.4) | 26 (2.4) |
| Not reported | 5 (1.6) | 6 (0.8) | 1 (3.4) | 12 (1.1) |
| Total | 323 (100) | 748 (100) | 29 (100) | 1100 (100) |


| Table 48: Reasons why respondents had not had a Pap smear in |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| past 3 years (2018) | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Being busy/forgetting when your next test is due | $103(21.7)$ |
| Embarrassment/awkward nature of the test | $104(21.9)$ |
| Fear of Pap tests | $59(12.4)$ |
| Other: No cervix | $44(9.2)$ |
| Other: Age | $28(5.9)$ |
| Other: Unsure if needed/advised not required | $26(5.5)$ |
| Other: Trauma/pain | $18(3.8)$ |
| NOTE: Table only includes respondents who had not had a Pap smear in the past 3 years. |  |


| Table 49: Prefer to self collect HPV test? <br> (2018 only) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Yes | $529(41.6)$ |
| No | $229(18.0)$ |
| Unsure of preference | $446(35.1)$ |
| Not reported | $68(5.3)$ |


| Table 50: HPV vaccine uptake in $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{2 8}$ year olds (2018) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $16-19$ <br> years | $20-28$ <br> years | Total |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |
| One dose | $11(7.7)$ | $25(9.2)$ | $36(8.7)$ |
| Two doses | $12(8.4)$ | $19(7.0)$ | $31(7.5)$ |
| Three doses | $24(16.8)$ | $104(38.1)$ | $128(30.8)$ |
| Yes but unsure about no. of doses | $30(21.0)$ | $42(15.4)$ | $72(17.3)$ |
| No | $22(15.4)$ | $33(12.1)$ | $55(13.2)$ |
| Not sure if at all | $40(28.0)$ | $40(14.7)$ | $80(19.2)$ |
| Not reported | $4(2.8)$ | $10(3.7)$ | $14(3.4)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3}(100)$ | $\mathbf{4 1 6}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ |
| NOTE: The vaccine is delivered in three doses at $0,2,6$ months; table only includes people aged 18 to 28 years |  |  |  |


| Table 51: : Timing of last mammogram (2018 only) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Less than 2 years ago | $192(43.2)$ |
| $2-3$ years ago | $50(11.3)$ |
| $3-5$ years ago | $29(6.5)$ |
| More than 5 years ago | $24(5.4)$ |
| Never | $135(30.4)$ |
| Not Sure | $4(0.9)$ |
| Not Reported | $10(2.3)$ |
| Total | 444 (100) |
| NOTE: Table only includes respondents aged 40 years and older. |  |


| Table 52: Timing of last STI test other than HIV, by experience of sex with men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never had sex with a man | Ever had sex with a man | Not reported | Total |
|  | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) | n (\%) |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 222 (58.6) | 235 (27.4) | 14 (38.9) | 471 (37.0) |
| Yes, over 6 months ago | 112 (29.6) | 439 (51.2) | 8 (22.2) | 559 (43.9) |
| Yes, in the past 6 months | 39 (10.3) | 175 (20.4) | 1 (2.8) | 215 (16.9) |
| Not reported | 6 (1.6) | 8 (0.8) | 13 (36.1) | 27 (2.1) |
| Total | 379 (100) | 857 (100) | 36 (100) | 1272 (100) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 102 (50.5) | 104 (26.1) | 10 (45.5) | 216 (34.7) |
| Yes, over 6 months ago | 65 (32.2) | 198 (49.6) | 3 (13.6) | 266 (42.7) |
| Yes, in the past 6 months | 26 (12.9) | 91 (22.8) | 3 (13.6) | 120 (19.3) |
| Not reported | 9 (4.5) | 6 (1.5) | 6 (27.3) | 21 (3.4) |
| Total | 202 (100) | 399 (100) | 22 (100) | 623 (100) |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 167 (51.7) | 255 (34.1) | 13 (44.8) | 435 (39.5) |
| Yes, over 6 months ago | 112 (34.7) | 330 (44.1) | 11 (37.9) | 453 (41.2) |
| Yes, in the past 6 months | 38 (11.8) | 155 (20.7) | 4 (13.8) | 197 (17.9) |
| Not reported | 6 (1.9) | 8 (1.1) | 1 (3.4) | 15 (1.4) |
| Total | 323 (100) | 748 (100) | 29 (100) | 1100 (100) |

### 4.5 Experiences of violence and abuse

### 4.5.1 Intimate partner violence

Forty eight percent of women reported they had ever been in a relationship where a partner had physically or emotionally abused them; 32\% reported experiencing intimate partner violence (IPV) from a female partner (Table 53). The apparent increase in IPV (since we first started asking about it in 2006) needs to be interpreted with caution. It is not clear if IPV is increasing or if awareness and willingness to disclose has increased through work by ACON, the Inner City Legal Centre, and the LGBTIQ Domestic and Family Violence Interagency on LGBTQ domestic violence through Another Closet, ${ }^{45}$ and the more recent Say It Loud campaign. ${ }^{46}$

| Table 53: Abuse experienced in an intimate relationship |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Never | $643(58.5)$ | $328(52.6)$ | $633(49.8)$ |
| Yes, with a male only | $124(11.3)$ | $81(13.0)$ | $210(16.5)$ |
| Yes, with a female only | $292(26.5)$ | $163(26.2)$ | $333(26.2)$ |
| Yes, with both | $29(2.6)$ | $34(5.5)$ | $72(5.7)$ |
| Not reported | $12(1.1)$ | $17(2.7)$ | $24(1.9)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3}(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 2 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |

### 4.5.2 Anti-lesbian, gay, bi, trans and queer behaviour

Respondents were asked whether they had experienced any of six specified anti-LGBTQ acts against them in the preceding 12 months (Table 54). Forty percent of respondents had experienced some form of abuse or harassment. The most common experience was verbal abuse or harassment. Over a decade of data from SWASH shows that while other types of abuse and harassment have remained steady, verbal abuse and harassment has varied significantly over time; 2018 rates are simialr to rates to those we captured in 2006/2008. That so few respondents reported abusive behaviour to police is of concern (Table 55) and points to a need for further work to strengthen the relationship between LGBTQ communities and the NSW police force.

| Table 54: Anti-LGBTQ behaviour experienced in the past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Verbal abuse or harassment | $297(27.0)$ | $233(37.4)$ | $478(37.6)$ |
| Being pushed or shoved | $86(7.8)$ | $41(6.6)$ | $77(6.1)$ |
| Being bashed | $24(2.2)$ | $15(2.4)$ | $10(0.8)$ |
| Physical threat or intimidation | $110(10.0)$ | $65(10.4)$ | $155(12.2)$ |
| Refusal of service | $60(5.5)$ | $34(5.5)$ | $61(4.8)$ |
| Refused employment or promotion | $42(3.8)$ | $24(3.9)$ | $47(3.7)$ |
| Any of the above | $\mathbf{3 1 5}(\mathbf{2 8 . 6})$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 ( 3 9 . 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 9}(\mathbf{4 0 . 0})$ |


| Table 55: Anti-LGBTQ behaviour reported to police in the past 12 months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ |
| Verbal abuse or harassment | $7(2.4)$ | $7(3.0)$ | $10(2.1)$ |
| Being pushed or shoved | $5(5.8)$ | $5(12.2)$ | $1(1.3)$ |
| Being bashed | $3(12.5)$ | $1(6.7)$ | $1(10.0)$ |
| Physical threat or intimidation | $8(7.3)$ | $5(7.7)$ | $5(3.2)$ |
| Refusal of service | $1(1.7)$ | $1(2.9)$ | $1(1.6)$ |
| Refused employment or promotion | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(2.1)$ |

## 5. Conclusion

SWASH provides a snapshot of the health and wellbeing of community-engaged lesbian, bisexual, queer (LBQ) and other non-heterosexual identifying women and non-binary people. It highlights several areas of particular concern - many of which have persisted over time where mainstream preventive health interventions that are inclusive of or targeted to LBQ, are needed.

Despite the survey's breadth, we are not collecting information on all salient health issues. In particular, presentations at community forums and professional meetings have raised questions about the use of prescribed medication for psychological distress and use of mental health or physical health services. SWASH is a convenience survey rather than a random sample, but recruitment is done in settings not specifically related to the health outcomes under study. People come to Mardi Gras Fair Day, which remains the core recruitment source, for social reasons, not because they have health or other problems. This means that the sample is not skewed towards people with high rates of health difficulties or risk factors. On the other hand, a survey of this sort is not likely to include people who do not wish to associate or engage with LGBTQ communities or who are not drawn to the activities or events on offer during Mardi Gras season. The results reflect the features of a generally younger, metropolitan, community-attached group of LBQ women and non-binary people, rather than merely those who have had sexual experiences with women, or who do not identify as heterosexual.

The lack of comparable surveys within Australia (and to our knowledge, internationally) highlights the ongoing importance of SWASH. This report provides an unparalleled insight into the health and wellbeing of LBQ women and non-binary people, and indicates findings of particular salience and urgency for those interested in improving the health and wellbeing of this population.
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## 7. Appendix 1: Questionnaires


How often have you used these drugs in the last


THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COMPLETE OUR SURVEY
43. If you have NOT had a Pap test in the last 3
years, please tell
Being busy/forgetting when your next test is due $\square$
Embarrassment/awkward nature of the test $\square$ I
Being busy/forgetting when your next test is due
Embarrassment/awkward nature of the test $\square_{2}$
Fear of Pap tests $\square_{3}$
Other (please specify):

 screening test?
No $\square_{1} \quad$ Yes $\square_{2}$ Unsure $\square_{3}$
5. Have you been vaccinated against Human
 46. Have you ever had a test for a sexually transmit-
ted infection (not HIV)? No $\square_{1}$
Over 6 mths ago $\square_{2}$
In the past 6 mths $\square_{3}$ 47. Have you ever been diagnosed with an STI?




50. Have you ever accessed a counsellor
or psychiatrist?
No $\square_{1}$
Yes, in past 5 yrs $\square_{2}$
Yes, in past 5 yrs $\square_{2}$
Yes, over 5 yrs ago $\square_{3}$
 $\mathrm{No} \square_{1}$
Yes, in past 5 yrs $\square_{2}$
Yes, over 5 yrs ago $\square_{3}$
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I attend the same health centre $\square_{3}$
36. If you have a regular GP, how satisfied are you?
Very satisfied $\square_{1}$
Saty satisfied
Satisfied $\square_{2}$
Neither $\square_{3}$
Unsatisfied $\square_{4}$
Very unsatisfied $\square_{5}$
Are you out to your GP about your
sexuality/gender identity?


In general, would you say your health is?
Poor $\square_{1}$
Good Dod Very good $\square_{4}$
Excellent $\square$ s.

How tall are you without shoes?__________-_cms
How much do you weigh?__________
$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$
$\infty$
®ั 오 $3-5$ years ago $\square_{3}$ Never $\square_{5}$
Don't know $\square_{6}$
42. When did you have your last Pap smear/


20．In the past 6 mths，how many women have you had sex Employed full－time $\square_{1}$
Unemployed $\square_{3}$ Not in the work force $\square_{5}$
 \＄60，000－\＄99，999 $\square_{4} \quad \$ 100,000+\square 5$ 11．What is the highest level of education you have
9．Are you：（tick all that apply）
SWASH－Lesbian，Bisexual and Queer Women＇s Health Survey 2016
Definitions：By woman we mean a person who identifies as a $\frac{\text { Definitions：Bor fomale or now identifies as female）．By man we }}{}$ woman（born female mean a person who identifies as a man（born male or now identifies as male）．By LGBTIQ we mean lesbian，gay，
bisexual，transgender，intersex and queer
Before you start：This code allows us to track changes in health over time．This will NOT identify you．
Did you complete the SWASH survey in 2014？Yes $\square_{1}$ About You
1．What is your age？years
2．Postcode／suburb／town where you live
3．Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$
Islander origin？$\quad$ No $\square 1$ Yes $\square 2$
4．What is your eth
What is your ethnic or cultural background？
（e．g．Greek，Vietnamese，Lebanese，Chinese）
Anglo－Australian only $\square_{1}$ Other（specify）
No MonthlyWeekly More

ロ゙ロ
ロロロロ
ロー ロロ
ロロロ
7．Please tell us where you connect with LGBTIQ community or content online（sites，pages，groups）

[^0]31．Have you had casual sex in the past 6 mtss ？

$\begin{array}{rr}\text { No } \square_{1} & \text { Yes，with women } \square_{2} \\ \text { Yes，with men } \square_{3} & \text { Yes，with both } \square_{4}\end{array}$
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## 중운 STDNTY

$\begin{array}{rl}\text { 55．How often do you normally drink alcohol？} \\ \text { Never } \square_{1} \text { Goto o Q58 } & \text { Less often than weekly } \square_{2} \\ 1 \text { or } 2 \text { days a week } \square_{3} & 3-4 \text { days a week } \square_{4} \\ 5-6 \text { days a week } \square_{5} & \text { Every day } \square_{6}\end{array}$
56．On a day when you drink alcohol，how many standard drinks

| do you usually have？ |
| :--- |
| （1 drink＝a small glass of wine，a middy of beer or a nip of spirits） |
| $1-2$ drinks $\square_{1}$ |
| $9-4$ drinks $\square_{2}$ |
| $9-12$ drinks $\square_{4}$ |
| $13-20$ drinks $\square_{5}$ |
| $5-8$ | $\begin{array}{rr}1-2 \text { drinks } \square_{1} & 3-4 \text { drinks } \square_{2} \\ 9-12 \text { drinks } \square_{4} & 13-20 \text { drinks } \square_{5}\end{array}$ 57．In the past $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{~ m t h s , ~ h o w ~}$ Never $\square_{1}$

About once a month $\square_{3}$
Abeas
Once or twice $\square_{2}$
About once a week $\square_{4}$
Every day $\square_{6}$ More than once a week $\square_{5} \quad$ Every day $\square_{6}$ 58．How often have you used these drugs in the last 6 mths？




59．Have you ever injected drugs？$\quad \square_{2}$ In past 6 months $\square_{3}$ 60．Have you ever sought help for a drug and／or alcohol issue



Thank you for taking the time to complete our survey
Page 2 of 2

44．When did you have your last Pap smear test？
Less than $2-3$ yrs $3-5$ yrs More than Never Not
Not
sure
$\square 6$ 45．Have you been vaccinated against Human Papillomavirus
 $\begin{array}{rr}\text { Yes，at least } 1 \text { dose } \square_{3} & \text { Yes，at least } 2 \text { doses } \square_{4} \\ \text { Yes，at least } 3 \text { doses } \square_{5} & \text { Unsure how many doses } \square_{6}\end{array}$ Over 6 mths ago $\square_{2} \quad$ In the past $6 \mathrm{mths} \square_{3}$
47．Have you ever been diagnosed with an $\quad$ No $\square 1$ Yes $\square_{2}$ 47．Have you ever been diagnosed with an No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$
STI？ 48．Have you ever had an HIV antibody test？ No $\square_{1}$ Go to Q50 Yes $\square_{2}$

49．What was the result of your last HIV test？
Positive（you have HIV）$\square_{1} \quad$ Negative $\square_{2}$
Not sure $\square_{3}$
50．Where do you get sexual health information？
Friends $\square_{2}$
49．What was the result of your last HIV test？$\quad$ Nos sure $\square_{3}$
Positive（you have HIV）$\square_{1} \quad$ Negative $\square_{2} \quad$ Not
50．Where do you get sexual health information？Online $\square 1$
Not sure $\square_{3}$


## Psychological health and wellbeing

51．Have you ever accessed a counsellor or psychiatrist？
No $\square_{1}$ Yes，in past 5 yrs $\square_{2}$ Yes，over 5 yrs ago $\square_{3}$ 52．Have you ever been diagnosed with depression，anxiety No $\square_{1} \quad$ Yes，in past 5 yrs $\square_{2}$ Yes，over 5 yrs ago $\square_{3}$ 53．During the past $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{w k s}$ ，how much of the time did you feel All of Most of Some of A little of None of
Som
吅 54．Do you currently smoke cigarettes or other tobacco？

Daily $\square_{1}$ More than weekly（not daily）$\square_{2}$
Less than weekly $\square_{3}$
Ex－smoker $\square_{4}$
Never smoked／less than 100 in lifetime $\square_{5}$

Yes
ロีกักี์ \＆ロ்ロ்ロ்

32．On any occasion in the past 6 mths have you
 a gay，homosexual or bisexual man
a woman（nood） Kink，S／M dominance／bondage（no blood）
Kink，S／M dominance／bondage（with blood） Violence
33．In the last 12 months，have you experienced any of the
following anti－lesbian，gay，bi or trans behaviour？
 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Yes } & \text { No } & \text { to police．} \\ \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$
 34．Have you been in a relationship where a Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q38 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Yes，a man } \square_{2} & \text { Yes，a woman } \square_{3} & \text { Yes，both } \square_{4}\end{array}$ 35．Please indicate when this last was：
In past 2 yrs $\square_{1} \quad 2-5$ years ago $\square_{2} \quad$ Over 5 yrs ago $\square_{3}$ 36．Did you talk to someone／seek help？No $\square 1$ Go to Q38 $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ 37．Who did you talk to？（tick all that apply）Friend／neighbour $\square_{1}$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Counsellor／psychologist } \square_{2} & \text { Folice } \square_{4}\end{aligned} \quad$ Doctor or hospital $\square_{5}$ $\begin{array}{rr}\text { LGBTI service } \square_{6} & \text { Doctor or hospital } \square_{5} \\ \text { Online service（specify）} \square_{8} & \text { DV helpline } \square_{7}\end{array}$ General health
38．Do you have a regular GP？No $\square_{1}$ Go to Q41 I see the same GP $\square_{2} \quad$ I attend the same health centre $\square_{3}$
39．If you have a regular GP，how satisfied are you？ Very Satisfied Neither Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied $\square_{5}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { satisfied } \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} & \square_{4} & \text { unsatisfied } \square_{5}\end{array}$ 40．Are you out to your GP about your
sexuality／gender identity？ No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ ？ sexuality／gender identity？
1．In general，would you say your health is？$\square_{4}$ Excellent $\square_{5}$
Poor $\square_{1}$ Fair $\square_{2}$ Good $\square_{3}$ Very good $\square_{4}$ ． 42．How tall are you without shoes？＿＿＿cms

21．In the past 6 mths，which of the following have No Yes
you done while having sex with a woman？
 Fingers／hand on external genitals Fingers／hand inside vagina

Fingers／hand inside anus
Oral sex（your mouth，her genitals）
Oral sex（her mouth，your genitals）
Rimming（her mouth，your anus）
Rimming（your mouth，her anus）
Sex toy used on external genitals
Sex toy used inside vagina
Sex toy used inside anus
22．In the past 6 mths，have you done：
S／M dominance／bondage（no blood）
S／M dominance／bondage（with blood）
23．Have you done any sex work？
 24．When was the last occasion you had sex Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q26 with a gay／homosexual／bisexual man？
Over 6 mths ago $\square_{2}$ Go to Q26 In the past 6 mths $\square_{3}$ 25．In the past 6 mths have you had vaginal or anal intercourse with a gay／bisexual man（regular or casual partner）without
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Never } \square_{1} & \text { Once } \square_{2} & \text { Occasionally } \square_{3} & \text { Often } \square_{4}\end{array}$ 26．When was the last occasion you had sex Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q28 with a straight／heterose $\square_{2}$ Go to Q28 $\quad$ In the past 6 mths $\square_{3}$
Over 6 mths ago 27．In the past 6 mths have you had vaginal or anal intercourse with a straight／heterosexual man（regular or casual
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Never } \square_{1} & \text { Once } \square_{2} \text { Occasionally } \square_{3} \quad \text { Often } \square_{4}\end{array}$ 28．Are you currently in a sexual relationship No $\square 1$ Go to Q30 A woman $\square_{2} \quad$ A man $\square_{3}$ Multiple regular partners／poly $\square_{4}$ 29．How long is this relationship？More than $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Less than } 6 & 6-11 \text { mths } & 1-2 \text { yrs } & 3-5 \text { yrs } & \text { More than } \\ \text { mths } \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} & \square_{4} & 5 \mathrm{yrs} \square_{5}\end{array}$ 30．Have you had casual sex in the past 6 mths ？ $\begin{array}{cr}\text { No } \square_{1} \text { Go to Q32 } & \text { Yes，with women } \square_{2} \\ \text { Yes，with men } \square_{3} & \text { Yes，with both } \square_{4}\end{array}$

31．Where do you meet casual sexual partners？Tick all that apply 1．Where do you meet casual sexual partners？Tick al that apply $\square_{1}$
Bars／night clubs Online dating sites Social networking sites
Friends of friends Other（specify）

11．What is the highest level of education you have


## Sydney Women and Sexual Health （SWASH）Survey 2014 Sydney Women and Sexual Health （SWASH）Survey 2014

Before you start complete this code so we can track changes
in women＇s health over time．This will NOT identify you． in women＇s health over time．This will NOT identify you．
First two letters of first name $\square \square$ and surname $\square \square$ Did you complete the SWASH survey in 2012？Yes $\square_{1}$ 1．What is your age？years 2．Postcode or Subur 2．Postcode or Suburb／town where you live 3．Are you of Aboriginal or Torres Strait No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ Islander origin？
Up to Year 10 ／School Certificate
Year 12 ／HSC／Leaving Cert／IB
ธロロロ゚ロ゙ロ
 12．Do you have any dependent children？$\quad$ No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ Postgraduate degree（MA，MSc，PhD）

13．Are you planning to have a child in the next No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ 2 years？

Now Past Never
$\begin{array}{lll}\square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} \\ \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$ shifting between friends／relatives
Boarding house，caravan park，hostel，
hotel，motel $\quad \square_{1} \quad \square_{2} \quad \square_{3}$ Community

LGBTQ means Lesbian，Gay，Bisexual，Transgender，Queer 15．Do you feel connected to a LGBTQ community in your

Very $\square_{1}$ Mostly $\square_{2}$ Somewhat $\square_{3}$ Rarely $\square_{4}$ Not at all $\square_{5}$ 6．In the past 6 mths have you No MonthlyWeekly More Lesbian／queer women＇s $\quad \square_{1} \quad \square_{2} \quad \square_{3} \quad \square_{4}$ ＂ $\square$ ロロロロ

All $\square_{5}$
8．When was the last time you had sex with Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q22 Over 6 mths ago $\square_{2}$ Go to Q22 In the past $6 \mathrm{mths} \square_{3}$
19In the past 6 mths ，how many women have you had sex

None $\square_{1} \quad$ One $\square_{2} \quad 2-5 \square_{3} \quad 6-10 \square_{4} \quad$ More than $10 \square 5$

soul！

55．In the last 12 mths，have you：Tick all that apply
Reduced the number of times you drink $\square_{1}$
ロ ロ゙ロロロ


58．Have you ever injected drugs？$\quad \square_{3}$ Never $\square_{1}$ Over 6 months ago $\square_{2}$ in past 6 months $\square$
Violence

59．In the last 12 months，have you experienced any of the
following anti－lesbian，gay，bi or trans behaviour？


$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Verbal abuse or harassment } & \square_{1} & \square 2 & \square_{3} \\ \text { Being pushed or shoved } & \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Being pashed } & \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Physical threat or intimidation } & \square 1 & \square 2 \\ \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Refusal of service } & \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} \\ \text { Refused employment／promotion } & \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3}\end{array}$
60．Have you ever been in a relationship where your partner
Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q62 Yes，with a man $\square_{2}$ Yes，with a woman $\square_{3}$
61．Did you talk to someone else about it or $\quad$ No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ seek help？

62．Since the age of 16 ，have you been forced or frightened into
doing something sexually that you didn＇t want to do？ Never $\square_{1} \quad$ Yes，by a man $\square_{2}$ Yes，by a woman $\square_{3}$

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey

47．Have you ever been diagnosed with depression，anxiety disorder or other mental heath disorder？
No $\square 1$ Yes，in past 5 yrs $\square 2$ Yes，over 5 yrs ago $\square 3$ 48．During the past 4 weeks，how much of the time did you feel All of Most of Some of A little of None of the time $\quad \square 4$

ロロロロロ
$\square 5$
$\square 4 \quad \square_{5}$
ばさばロ

๓゚ロロ
So sad nothing could $\begin{gathered}\text { the time } \\ \square 1\end{gathered} \begin{aligned} & \text { the time } \\ & \square 2\end{aligned}$ cheer you up $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Nervous } & \square_{1} & \square_{2} \\ \text { Restless or fidgety } & \square_{1} & \square_{2}\end{array}$ Restless or fidgety $\quad \square 1$ธัธีัธี์

ㅇ ロロ்்ロ

32．On any occasion in the past 6 months have you had group sex which included：
a gay，homosexual or bisexual man a straight or heterosexual man

S／M dominance／bondage（no blood）
S／M dominance／bondage（with blood） Your health

33．Do you have a regular GP？No $\square_{1}$ Go to Q36 I see the same GP $\square_{2} \quad$ I attend the same health centre $\square_{3}$
34．If you have a regular GP，how satisfied are you？ $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Very } & \text { Satisfied } & \text { Neither } & \text { Unsatisfied } & \text { Very } \\ \text { satisfied } \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} & \square_{4} & \text { unsatisfied } \square_{5}\end{array}$ No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$ Smoking，drinking and drug use
 50．In the last $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{~ m t h s}$ ，have you：Tick all that apply
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Successfully given up smoking（more than a month）} & \square_{1} \\ \text { Tried to give up unsuccessfully }\end{array}$
Successfuily given up smoking（more than a month）
Tried to give up unsuccessfully
Changed to a brand with lower tar／nicotine content
Changed to a brand with lower tar／nicotine to change to a brand with lower tar／nicotine
content，but were unsuccessful
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Reduced the amount of tobacco you smoke in a day } & \square 5 \\ \text { Tried to reduce the amount of tobacco smoked in a day，} \\ \square\end{array}$
but were unsuccessful
No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$

53．On a day when you drink alcohol，how many standard drinks
$\begin{array}{rrr} & & \\ 1-2 \text { drinks } \square_{1} & \text { 3－4 drinks } \square_{2} & 5-8 \text { drinks } \square_{3} \\ 9-12 \text { drinks } \square_{4} & 13-20 \text { drinks } \square_{5} & 20+\text { drinks } \square_{6}\end{array}$
54．In the past 6 mths ，how often have you drunk 5 or more

> Once or twice $\square_{2}$ About once a week $\square_{4}$

Never $\square_{1}$
About once a month $\square_{3}$
More than once a week $\square_{5}$
Every day $\square_{6}$
51．Would you like to reduce or quit your
current level of smoking？

7 How tall are you without shoes？cms 38．How much do you weigh（no clothes／shoes）？＿＿＿＿＿＿＿＿kgs 39．When did you have your last Pap smear test？ $\begin{array}{cccccc}2 \text { yrs ago } & \text { ago } & \text { Ago } & 5 \text { yrs ago } & \text { Never } & \text { Not sure } \\ \square_{1} & \square_{2} & \square_{3} & \square_{4} & \square_{5} & \square 6\end{array}$ 40．Have you been vaccinated against Human Papillomavirus 1 dose $\square_{1} \quad 2$ doses $\square_{2} \quad 3$ doses $\square_{3}$ Never $\square_{4}$ Not sure $\square_{5}$ 41．Have you ever had a test for a sexually $\quad$ No $\square_{1}$


42．Have you ever been diagnosed with an $\quad$ No $\square_{1}$ Yes $\square_{2}$
STI？
43．Have you ever had an HIV antibody test？No $\square_{1}$ Go to Q 45
hat was the result of your last HIV test？
Positive（you have HIV）$\square_{1} \quad$ Negative $\square_{2} \quad$ Not sure $\square_{3}$ 45．Where do you get sexual health information？Online $\square_{1}$ $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Friends } \square_{2} & \text { GP } \square_{3} & \text { Community organisation } \square_{4} \\ \text { Other（specify）} \\ & \square 5 & \square_{5} \\ \text { Don＇t seek Information } \square 6\end{array}$ Psychological health and wellbeing

[^1]$1 \times 14$
a


[^0]:    18．How many of your friends are LGBTIQ？
    $\quad$ None $\square_{1} \quad$ A few $\square_{2} \quad$ Some $\square_{3} \quad$ Most $\square_{4} \quad$ All $\square_{5}$
    Sex and relationships
    19．When was the last time you had sex with a woman？
    Never $\square_{1}$ Go to Q23 Over 6 mths ago $\square 2$

[^1]:    6．Have you ever accessed a counsellor or psychiatrist？

